

Annual
Report | 2019



UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K (abridged)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019

Commission file number: 001-14116

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

33-0459135

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Las Vegas, NV

(Address of principal executive offices)

89169

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (949) 753-6800

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common Stock, no par value

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (Global Market)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [X]

No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [X] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

The aggregate market value of the 16,187,112 shares of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates as of the date of filing of this report, based upon the closing price of the registrant's common stock of \$3.80 per share reported by Nasdaq as of June 30, 2019, was approximately \$61,511,026. For purposes of this computation, a registrant sponsored pension plan and all directors and executive officers are deemed to be affiliates. Such determination is not an admission that such plan, directors and executive officers are, in fact, affiliates of the registrant. The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding on March 10, 2020 was 22,558,918.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The proxy statement for registrant's 2020 annual shareholders meeting is incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

This annual report to shareholders consists of selected portions of the information that we filed March 16, 2020 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as our annual report on Form 10-K, together with a stock performance graph and director identification information, as set forth below. The entire report on Form 10-K may be accessed at our website, www.consumerportfolio.com, and at the website of the Commission, www.sec.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1.	Business.....	1
	Director Identification Information	14
	Executive Officers of the Registrant	14

PART II

Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.....	16
	Stock Performance Graph.....	17
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	18
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.....	37
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	37

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Index to Financial Statements	F-1
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2019 and 2018.....	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.....	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017....	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders’ Equity for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.....	F-8

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a specialty finance company. Our primary business is to purchase and service retail automobile contracts originated primarily by franchised automobile dealers and select independent dealers in the United States in the sale of new and used automobiles, light trucks and passenger vans. Through our automobile contract purchases, we provide indirect financing to the customers of dealers who have limited credit histories or past credit problems, who we refer to as sub-prime customers. We serve as an alternative source of financing for dealers, facilitating sales to customers who otherwise might not be able to obtain financing from traditional sources, such as commercial banks, credit unions and the captive finance companies affiliated with major automobile manufacturers. In addition to purchasing installment purchase contracts directly from dealers, we have also acquired installment purchase contracts in four merger and acquisition transactions. We also offer financing directly to sub-prime consumers to facilitate their purchase of a new or used automobile, light truck or passenger van. In this report, we refer to all of such contracts and loans as "automobile contracts" and all such purchases or acquisitions as "originations" or "acquisitions".

We were incorporated and began our operations in March 1991. We consist of Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. and subsidiaries (collectively, "we," "us," "CPS" or "the Company"). From inception through December 31, 2019, we have purchased a total of approximately \$16.2 billion of automobile contracts from dealers. In addition, we acquired a total of approximately \$822.3 million of automobile contracts in mergers and acquisitions in 2002, 2003, 2004 and, most recently in September 2011. Contract purchase volumes and managed portfolio levels for the five years ended December 31, 2019 are shown in the table below. Managed portfolio comprises both contracts we owned and those we were servicing for non-affiliates.

Contract Purchases and Outstanding Managed Portfolio

Year	<i>\$ in thousands</i>	
	Contracts Purchased in Period	Managed Portfolio at Period End
2015	1,060,538	2,031,136
2016	1,088,785	2,308,070
2017	859,069	2,333,530
2018	902,416	2,380,847
2019	1,002,782	2,416,042

Our principal executive offices are in Las Vegas, Nevada. Most of our operational and administrative functions take place in Irvine, California. Credit and underwriting functions are performed primarily in our California branch with certain of these functions also performed in our Florida and Nevada branches. We service our automobile contracts from our California, Nevada, Virginia, Florida and Illinois branches.

The majority of our contract acquisitions volume results from our purchases of retail installment sales contracts from franchised or independent automobile dealers. We establish relationships with dealers through our employee sales representatives, who contact prospective dealers to explain our automobile contract purchase programs, and thereafter provide dealer training and support services. Our sales representatives represent us exclusively. They may be located in our Irvine branch, in our Las Vegas branch, or in the field, in which case they work from their homes and support dealers in their geographic area. Our sales representatives present dealers with a sales package, which includes our promotional material containing the terms offered by us for the purchase of automobile contracts, a copy of our standard-form dealer agreement, and required documentation relating to automobile contracts. As of December 31, 2019, we had 70 sales representatives, and in that month, we received applications from 6,439 dealers in 46 states. As of December 31, 2019, approximately 78% of our active dealers were franchised new car dealers that sell both new and used vehicles, and the remainder were independent used car dealers.

We also solicit credit applications directly from prospective automobile consumers through the internet under a program we refer to as our direct lending platform. For qualified applicants we offer terms similar to those that we offer through dealers, though without a down payment requirement and with more restrictive loan-to-value and credit score requirements. Applicants approved in this fashion are free to shop for and purchase a vehicle from a dealer of their choosing, after which we enter into a note and security agreement directly with the consumer. During the year ended December 31, 2019 automobile contracts originated under the direct lending platform represented 3.2% of our total acquisitions and represented 2.2% of our outstanding managed portfolio as of December 31, 2019. Regardless

of whether an automobile contract is originated from one of our dealers or through our direct lending platform, the discussion that follows regarding our acquisitions guidelines, procedures and demographic statistics applies to all of our originated contracts.

For the year ended December 31, 2019, approximately 76% of the automobile contracts originated under our programs consisted of financing for used cars and 24% consisted of financing for new cars, as compared to 74% financing for used cars and 26% for new cars in the year ended December 31, 2018.

We originate automobile contracts with the intention of financing them on a long-term basis through securitizations. Securitizations are transactions in which we sell a specified pool of automobile contracts to a special purpose subsidiary of ours. The subsidiary in turn issues (or contributes to a trust that issues) asset-backed securities, which are purchased by institutional investors. Since 1994, we have completed 84 term securitizations of approximately \$14.4 billion in automobile contracts. We depend upon the availability of short-term warehouse credit facilities as interim financing for our contract purchases prior to the time we pool those contracts for a securitization. As of December 31, 2019 we have three such short-term warehouse facilities, each with a maximum borrowing amount of \$100 million.

Sub-Prime Auto Finance Industry

Automobile financing is the second largest consumer finance market in the United States. The automobile finance industry can be considered a continuum where participants choose to provide financing to consumers in various segments of the spectrum of creditworthiness depending on each participant's business strategy. We operate in a segment of the spectrum that is frequently referred to as sub-prime since we provide financing to less credit-worthy borrowers at higher rates of interest than more credit-worthy borrowers are likely to obtain.

Traditional automobile finance companies, such as banks, their subsidiaries, credit unions and captive finance subsidiaries of automobile manufacturers, generally lend to the most creditworthy, or so-called prime, borrowers, although some traditional lenders are significant participants in the sub-prime segment in which we operate. Historically, independent companies specializing in sub-prime automobile financing and subsidiaries of larger financial services companies have competed in the sub-prime segment which we believe remains highly fragmented, with no single company having a dominant position in the market.

Our automobile financing programs are designed to serve sub-prime customers, who generally have limited credit histories or past credit problems. Because we serve customers who are unable to meet certain credit standards, we incur greater risks, and generally receive interest rates higher than those charged in the prime credit market. We also sustain a higher level of credit losses because of the higher risk customers we serve.

Contract Acquisitions

When a retail automobile buyer elects to obtain financing from a dealer, the dealer takes a credit application to submit to its financing sources. Typically, a dealer will submit the buyer's application to more than one financing source for review. We believe the dealer's decision to choose a financing source is based primarily on: (i) the interest rate and monthly payment made available to the dealer's customer; (ii) any fees to be charged to (or paid to) the dealer by the financing source; (iii) the timeliness, consistency and predictability of response; (iv) funding turnaround time; (v) any conditions to purchase; and (vi) the financial stability of the financing source. Dealers can send credit applications to us by entering the necessary data on our website or through one of two third-party application aggregators. We also have a pass-through arrangement with another lender who sends us applications from their dealers in cases where that lender chooses not to approve those applications. For the year ended December 31, 2019, we received approximately 59% of all applications through DealerTrack (the industry leading dealership application aggregator), 15% through pass-through arrangements we have with other lenders, 24% via another aggregator, Route One and 2% via our website. Our automated application decisioning system produced our initial decision within seconds on approximately 99% of those applications.

Upon receipt an application, if the application meets certain minimum criteria, we immediately order two credit reports to document the buyer's credit history. If, upon review by our proprietary automated decisioning system, or in some cases, one of our credit analysts, we determine that the automobile contract meets our underwriting criteria, we advise the dealer of our decision to approve the contract and the terms under which we will purchase it. In some cases where we don't grant an approval, we may discuss with the dealer alternatives from the terms proposed or request and review further information from the dealer.

Dealers with which we do business are under no obligation to submit any automobile contracts to us, nor are we obligated to purchase any automobile contracts from them. During the year ended December 31, 2019, no dealer accounted for as much as 1% of the total number of automobile contracts we purchased.

Under our direct lending platform, the applicant submits a credit application directly to us via our website, or in some cases, through a third-party who accepts such applications and refers them to us for a fee. In either case, we order two credit reports and process the application with the same automated application decisioning process as described above for applications from dealers. We then advise the applicant as to whether or not we would grant them credit and on what terms.

The following table sets forth the geographical sources of the automobile contracts we originated (based on the addresses of the customers as stated on our records) during the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018.

	Contracts Purchased During the Year Ended			
	December 31, 2019		December 31, 2018	
	Number	Percent (1)	Number	Percent (1)
California	7,056	12.6%	4,581	8.7%
Ohio	6,067	10.8%	4,641	8.8%
Indiana	3,524	6.3%	2,720	5.2%
North Carolina	3,016	5.4%	3,293	6.2%
Florida	2,739	4.9%	3,157	6.0%
Kentucky	2,612	4.7%	2,329	4.4%
Texas	2,371	4.2%	2,689	5.1%
Other States	28,534	51.0%	29,321	55.6%
Total	<u>55,919</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>52,731</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

(1) Percentages may not total to 100.0% due to rounding.

The following table sets forth the geographic concentrations of our outstanding managed portfolio as of December 31, 2019 and 2018.

	Outstanding Managed Portfolio as of			
	December 31, 2019		December 31, 2018	
	Amount	Percent (1)	Amount	Percent (1)
		(\$ in millions)		
California	\$ 250.2	10.4%	\$ 202.9	8.5%
Ohio	203.0	8.4%	170.4	7.2%
Texas	144.6	6.0%	166.1	7.0%
North Carolina	139.9	5.8%	137.8	5.8%
Florida	133.9	5.5%	138.5	5.8%
All others	1,544.4	63.9%	1,565.1	65.7%
Total	<u>\$ 2,416.0</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 2,380.8</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

(1) Percentages may not total to 100.0% due to rounding.

We purchase automobile contracts from dealers at a price generally computed as the total amount financed under the automobile contracts, adjusted for an acquisition fee, which may either increase or decrease the automobile contract purchase price we pay. The amount of the acquisition fee, and whether it results in an increase or decrease to the automobile contract purchase price, is based on the perceived credit risk of and, in some cases, the interest rate on the automobile contract. The following table summarizes the average net acquisition fees we charged dealers and the weighted average annual percentage rate on our purchased contracts for the periods shown:

	<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Average net acquisition fee charged (paid) to dealers (1)	\$ (25)	\$ (238)	\$ (34)	\$ 15	\$ 56
Average net acquisition fee as % of amount financed (1)	-0.1%	-1.4%	-0.2%	0.1%	0.3%
Weighted average annual percentage interest rate	19.2%	18.3%	19.1%	19.2%	19.3%

(1) Not applicable to direct lending platform

Our pricing strategy is driven by our objectives for new contract purchase quantities and yield. We believe that levels of acquisition fees are determined primarily by competition in the marketplace, which has been robust over the periods presented, and also by our pricing strategy. The competitive environment in 2017 and 2018 has resulted in generally higher fees paid to dealers in conjunction with our contract acquisitions, compared to the years 2016 and earlier when dealers were generally paying us fees. In the fourth quarter of 2018, we recalibrated our risk-based scoring and pricing model which contributed to higher contract interest rates and lower fees paid to dealers in 2019 compared to 2018. Paying fees to dealers increases our capital requirements for acquiring contracts.

We have offered eight different financing programs, and price each program according to the relative credit risk. Our programs cover a wide band of the sub-prime credit spectrum and are labeled as follows:

Bravo - this program accommodated an applicant with significant past non-performing credit including recent derogatory credit. Advance rates were the lowest of all of our programs to offset the greater risk. To offset the low up-front advance to the dealer, we agreed to pay the dealer a portion of future payments we receive from the obligor, depending on loan performance. The Bravo program was introduced in November of 2015 and was terminated in November of 2018.

First Time Buyer – This program accommodates an applicant who has limited significant past credit history, such as a previous auto loan. Since the applicant has limited credit history, the contract interest rate and dealer acquisition fees tend to be higher, and the loan amount, loan-to-value ratio, down payment and payment-to-income ratio requirements tend to be more restrictive compared to our other programs.

Mercury / Delta – This program accommodates an applicant who may have had significant past non-performing credit including recent derogatory credit. As a result, the contract interest rate and dealer acquisition fees tend to be higher, and the loan amount, loan-to-value ratio, down payment, and payment-to-income ratio requirements tend to be more restrictive compared to our other programs.

Standard – This program accommodates an applicant who may have significant past non-performing credit, but who has also exhibited some performing credit in their history. The contract interest rate and dealer acquisition fees are comparable to the First Time Buyer and Mercury/Delta programs, but the loan amount and loan-to-value ratio requirements are somewhat less restrictive.

Alpha – This program accommodates applicants who may have a discharged bankruptcy, but who have also exhibited performing credit. In addition, the program allows for homeowners who may have had other significant non-performing credit in the past. The contract interest rate and dealer acquisition fees are lower than the Standard program, down payment and payment-to-income ratio requirements are somewhat less restrictive.

Alpha Plus – This program accommodates applicants with past non-performing credit, but with a stronger history of recent performing credit, such as auto or mortgage related credit, and higher incomes than the Alpha program. Contract interest rates and dealer acquisition fees are lower than the Alpha program.

Super Alpha – This program accommodates applicants with past non-performing credit, but with a somewhat stronger history of recent performing credit, including auto or mortgage related credit, and higher incomes than the Alpha Plus program. Contract interest rates and dealer acquisition fees are lower, and the maximum loan amount is somewhat higher, than the Alpha Plus program.

Preferred - This program accommodates applicants with past non-performing credit, but who demonstrate a somewhat stronger history of recent performing credit than the Super Alpha program. Contract interest rates and dealer acquisition fees are lower, and the maximum loan amount is somewhat higher than the Super Alpha program.

Our upper credit tier products, which are our Preferred, Super Alpha, Alpha Plus and Alpha programs, accounted for approximately 76% of our new contract acquisitions in 2019, 79% in 2018, and 73% in 2017, measured by aggregate amount financed.

The following table identifies the credit program, sorted from highest to lowest credit quality, under which we originated automobile contracts during the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018.

<u>Program</u>	<u>December 31, 2019</u>		<u>December 31, 2018</u>	
	<u>(dollars in thousands)</u>			
	<u>Amount</u> <u>Financed</u>	<u>Percent (1)</u>	<u>Amount</u> <u>Financed</u>	<u>Percent (1)</u>
Preferred	\$ 82,722	8.2%	\$ 52,774	5.8%
Super Alpha	125,113	12.5%	93,581	10.4%
Alpha Plus	221,125	22.1%	196,555	21.8%
Alpha	337,814	33.7%	372,156	41.2%
Standard	149,531	14.9%	103,642	11.5%
Mercury / Delta	58,119	5.8%	55,745	6.2%
First Time Buyer	28,358	2.8%	23,569	2.6%
Bravo	-	0.0%	4,394	0.5%
	<u>\$ 1,002,782</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 902,416</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

(1) Percentages may not total to 100.0% due to rounding.

We attempt to control misrepresentation regarding the customer's credit worthiness by carefully screening the automobile contracts we originate, by establishing and maintaining professional business relationships with dealers, and by including certain representations and warranties by the dealer in the dealer agreement. Pursuant to the dealer agreement, we may require the dealer to repurchase any automobile contract in the event that the dealer breaches its representations or warranties. There can be no assurance, however, that any dealer will have the willingness or the financial resources to satisfy its repurchase obligations to us.

Underwriting

For automobile contracts that we purchase from dealers, we require that the contract be originated by a dealer that has entered into a dealer agreement with us. Under our direct lending platform, we require the customer to sign a note and security agreement. In each case, the contract is secured by a first priority lien on a new or used automobile, light truck or passenger van and must meet our underwriting criteria. In addition, each automobile contract requires the customer to maintain physical damage insurance covering the financed vehicle and naming us as a loss payee. We may, nonetheless, suffer a loss upon theft or physical damage of any financed vehicle if the customer fails to maintain insurance as required by the automobile contract and is unable to pay for repairs to or replacement of the vehicle.

We believe that our underwriting criteria enable us to evaluate effectively the creditworthiness of sub-prime customers and the adequacy of the financed vehicle as security for an automobile contract. The underwriting criteria include standards for price, term, amount of down payment, installment payment and interest rate; mileage, age and type of vehicle; principal amount of the automobile contract in relation to the value of the vehicle; customer income level, employment and residence stability, credit history and debt service ability, as well as other factors. Specifically, our underwriting guidelines generally limit the maximum principal amount of a purchased automobile contract to 115% of wholesale book value in the case of used vehicles or to 115% of the manufacturer's invoice in the case of new vehicles, plus, in each case, sales tax, licensing and, when the customer purchases such additional items, a service contract or a product to supplement the customer's casualty policy in the event of a total loss of the related vehicle. We generally do not finance vehicles that are more than 11 model years old or have in excess of 150,000 miles. The maximum term of a purchased contract is 72 months, although we consider the loan to value and mileage as significant factors in determining the maximum term of a contract. Automobile contract purchase criteria are subject to change from time to time as circumstances may warrant. Prior to purchasing an automobile contract, our underwriters verify the customer's employment, income, residency, insurance coverage, and credit information by contacting various parties noted on the customer's application, credit information bureaus and other sources. In addition, we contact each customer by telephone to confirm that the customer understands and agrees to the terms of the related automobile contract. During this "welcome call," we also ask the customer a series of open ended questions about his application and the contract, which may uncover potential misrepresentations.

Credit Scoring. We use proprietary scoring models to assign each automobile contract two internal "credit scores" at the time the application is received and the customer's credit information is retrieved from the credit reporting agencies. These proprietary scores are used to help determine whether or not we want to approve the application and, if so, the program and pricing we will offer either to the dealer, or in the case of our direct lending platform, directly to the customer. Our internal credit scores are based on a variety of parameters including the customer's credit history, data derived from alternative sources such as utilities, telecom, and social media, length of employment, residence

stability and total income. Once a vehicle is selected by the customer and a proposed deal structure is provided to us, our scores will then consider various deal structure parameters such as down payment amount, loan to value and the make and mileage of the vehicle. We have developed our credit scores utilizing statistical risk management techniques and historical performance data from our managed portfolio. We believe this improves our allocation of credit evaluation resources, enhances our competitiveness in the marketplace and manages the risk inherent in the sub-prime market.

Characteristics of Contracts. All of the automobile contracts we purchase are fully amortizing and provide for level payments over the term of the automobile contract. All automobile contracts may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The table below compares certain characteristics, at the time of origination, of our contract purchases for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018:

	Contracts Purchased During the Year Ended	
	December 31, 2019	December 31, 2018
Average Original Amount Financed	\$ 17,933	\$ 17,114
Average Original Term	68 months	69 months
Average Down Payment Percent	7.9%	9.1%
Average Vehicle Purchase Price	\$ 17,257	\$ 16,184
Average Age of Vehicle	4 years	4 years
Average Age of Customer	42 years	43 years
Average Time in Current Job	5 years	5 years
Average Household Annual Income	\$ 58,000	\$ 56,000

Dealer Compliance. The dealer agreement and related assignment contain representations and warranties by the dealer that an application for state registration of each financed vehicle, naming us as secured party with respect to the vehicle, was effected by the time of sale of the related automobile contract to us, and that all necessary steps have been taken to obtain a perfected first priority security interest in each financed vehicle in favor of us under the laws of the state in which the financed vehicle is registered. To the extent that we do not receive such state registration within three months of purchasing the automobile contract, our dealer compliance group will work with the dealer in an attempt to rectify the situation. If these efforts are unsuccessful, we generally will require the dealer to repurchase the automobile contract.

Servicing and Collection

We currently service all automobile contracts that we own as well as those automobile contracts that are included in portfolios that we have sold in securitizations or service for third parties. We organize our servicing activities based on the tasks performed by our personnel. Our servicing activities consist of mailing monthly billing statements; collecting, accounting for and posting of all payments received; responding to customer inquiries; taking all necessary action to maintain the security interest granted in the financed vehicle or other collateral; investigating delinquencies; communicating with the customer to obtain timely payments; repossessing and liquidating the collateral when necessary; collecting deficiency balances; and generally monitoring each automobile contract and the related collateral. We are typically entitled to receive a base monthly servicing fee equal to 2.5% per annum computed as a percentage of the declining outstanding principal balance of the non-charged-off automobile contracts in the securitization pools. The servicing fee is included in interest income for contracts that are pledged to a warehouse credit facility or a securitization transaction.

Collection Procedures. We believe that our ability to monitor performance and collect payments owed from sub-prime customers is primarily a function of our collection approach and support systems. We believe that if payment problems are identified early and our collection staff works closely with customers to address these problems, it is possible to correct many problems before they deteriorate further. To this end, we utilize pro-active collection procedures, which include making early and frequent contact with delinquent customers; educating customers as to the importance of maintaining good credit; and employing a consultative and customer service approach to assist the customer in meeting his or her obligations, which includes attempting to identify the underlying causes of delinquency and cure them whenever possible. In support of our collection activities, we maintain a computerized collection system specifically designed to service automobile contracts with sub-prime customers.

We attempt to make telephonic contact with delinquent customers from one to 20 days after their monthly payment due date, depending on our assessment of the customer's likelihood of payment during early stages of delinquency. If

a customer has authorized us to do so, we may also send automated text message reminders at various stages of delinquency and our collectors may also choose to contact a customer via text message instead of, or in addition to, via telephone. Our customers can contact us via a toll-free number where they may choose to speak with a collector or to use our automated voice response system to access information about their account or to make a payment. They may respond to our collector's text messages or chat with one of our collectors while logged into our website. Our contact priorities may be based on the customers' physical location, stage of delinquency, size of balance or other parameters. Our collectors inquire of the customer the reason for the delinquency and when we can expect to receive the payment. The collector will attempt to get the customer to make an electronic payment over the phone or a promise for the payment for a time generally not to exceed one week from the date of the call. If the customer makes such a promise, the account is routed to a promise queue and is not contacted until the outcome of the promise is known. If the payment is made by the promise date and the account is no longer delinquent, the account is routed out of the collection system. If the payment is not made, or if the payment is made, but the account remains delinquent, the account is returned to a collector's queue for subsequent contacts.

If a customer fails to make or keep promises for payments, or if the customer is uncooperative or attempts to evade contact or hide the vehicle, a supervisor will review the collection activity relating to the account to determine if repossession of the vehicle is warranted. Generally, such a decision will occur between the 60th and 90th day past the customer's payment due date, but could occur sooner or later, depending on the specific circumstances. At the time the vehicle is repossessed we will stop accruing interest on this automobile contract, and reclassify the remaining automobile contract balance to other assets. In addition we will apply a specific reserve to this automobile contract so that the net balance represents the estimated fair value less costs to sell.

If we elect to repossess the vehicle, we assign the task to an independent national repossession service. Such services are licensed and/or bonded as required by law. Upon repossession it is stored until it is picked up by a wholesale auction that we designate, where it is kept until sold. Prior to sale, the customer has the right to redeem the vehicle by paying the contract in full. In some cases, we may return the vehicle to the customer if they pay all, or what we deem to be a sufficient amount, of the past due amount. Financed vehicles that have been repossessed are generally resold through unaffiliated automobile auctions, which are attended principally by car dealers. Net liquidation proceeds are applied to the customer's outstanding obligation under the automobile contract. Such proceeds usually are insufficient to pay the customer's obligation in full, resulting in a deficiency. In most cases we will continue to contact our customers to recover all or a portion of this deficiency for up to several years after charge-off. From time to time, we sell certain charged off accounts to unaffiliated purchasers who specialize in collecting such accounts.

Once an automobile contract becomes greater than 90 days delinquent, we do not recognize additional interest income until the borrower makes sufficient payments to be less than 90 days delinquent. Any payments received by a borrower that are greater than 90 days delinquent are first applied to accrued interest and then to principal reduction.

We generally charge off the balance of any contract by the earlier of the end of the month in which the automobile contract becomes five scheduled installments past due or, in the case of repossessions, the month after we receive the proceeds from the liquidation of the financed vehicle or if the vehicle has been in repossession inventory for more than three months. In the case of repossession, the amount of the charge-off is the difference between the outstanding principal balance of the defaulted automobile contract and the net repossession sale proceeds.

Credit Experience

Our primary method of monitoring ongoing credit quality of our portfolio is to closely review monthly delinquency, default and net charge off activity and the related trends. Our internal credit performance data consistently show that new receivables have lower levels of delinquency and losses early in their lives, with delinquencies increasing throughout their lives and losses gradually increasing to a peak between 36 and 42 months, after which they gradually decrease. The weighted average seasoning of our total owned portfolio, represented in the tables below, was 23 months, 23 months and 21 months as of December 31, 2019, December 31, 2018, and December 31, 2017, respectively. Our financial results are dependent on the performance of the automobile contracts in which we retain an ownership interest. Broad economic factors such as recession and significant changes in unemployment levels influence the credit performance of our portfolio, as does the weighted average age of the receivables at any given time. The tables below document the delinquency, repossession and net credit loss experience of all such automobile contracts that we were servicing as of the respective dates shown. The tables do not include the experience of third party servicing portfolios, because we do not bear the credit risk on such portfolios.

Delinquency, Repossession and Extension Experience

Delinquency and Extension Experience (1) Total Owned Portfolio

	December 31, 2019		December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
	Number of Contracts	Amount	Number of Contracts	Amount	Number of Contracts	Amount
Delinquency Experience						
Gross servicing portfolio (1).....	177,604	\$ 2,416,042	176,042	\$ 2,380,847	173,998	\$ 2,333,524
Period of delinquency (2)						
31-60 days.....	13,737	189,214	13,182	183,974	10,163	138,395
61-90 days.....	6,695	91,675	5,577	74,485	4,741	63,081
91+ days.....	3,530	46,516	2,858	35,520	2,295	27,515
Total delinquencies (2).....	23,962	327,405	21,617	293,979	17,199	228,991
Amount in repossession (3).....	3,779	46,144	2,840	36,480	2,630	33,679
Total delinquencies and amount in repossession (2).....	27,741	\$ 373,549	24,457	\$ 330,459	19,829	\$ 262,670
Delinquencies as a percentage of gross servicing portfolio.....	13.5 %	13.6 %	12.3 %	12.3 %	9.9 %	9.8 %
Total delinquencies and amount in repossession as a percentage of gross servicing portfolio.....	15.6 %	15.5 %	13.9 %	13.9 %	11.4 %	11.3 %
Extension Experience						
Contracts with one extension, accruing (4)	27,677	\$ 385,673	27,192	\$ 364,575	31,708	\$ 430,801
Contracts with two or more extensions, accruing (4).....	54,440	673,918	61,977	828,573	55,203	756,561
	82,117	1,059,591	89,169	1,193,148	86,911	1,187,362
Contracts with one extension, non-accrual (4)	1,130	14,528	798	9,518	1,032	12,241
Contracts with two or more extensions, non-accrual (4).....	4,441	55,436	3,946	51,912	2,701	35,626
	5,571	69,964	4,744	61,430	3,733	47,867
Total accounts with extensions.....	87,688	\$ 1,129,555	93,913	\$ 1,254,578	90,644	\$ 1,235,229

(1) All amounts and percentages are based on the amount remaining to be repaid on each automobile contract. The information in the table represents the gross principal amount of all automobile contracts we purchased, including automobile contracts we subsequently sold in securitization transactions that we continue to service. The table does not include certain contracts we have serviced for third-parties on which we earn servicing fees only, and have no credit risk.

(2) We consider an automobile contract delinquent when an obligor fails to make at least 90% of a contractually due payment by the following due date, which date may have been extended within limits specified in the servicing agreements. The period of delinquency is based on the number of days payments are contractually past due. Automobile contracts less than 31 days delinquent are not included. The delinquency aging categories shown in the tables reflect the effect of extensions.

(3) Amount in repossession represents the contract balance on financed vehicles that have been repossessed but not yet liquidated.

(4) We do not recognize interest income on accounts past due more than 90 days.

Net Credit Loss Experience (1)
Total Owned Portfolio

	Finance Receivables Portfolio (2)		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Average portfolio outstanding.....	\$ 1,192,484	\$ 1,895,131	\$ 2,334,008
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average portfolio (3).....	12.2 %	9.3 %	7.7 %

	Fair Value Receivables Portfolio (4)		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Average portfolio outstanding.....	\$ 1,212,226	\$ 442,823	n/a
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average portfolio (3).....	3.8 %	1.3 %	n/a

	Total Managed Portfolio		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Average portfolio outstanding.....	\$ 2,404,710	\$ 2,341,954	2,334,008
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average portfolio (3).....	8.0 %	7.7 %	7.7

- (1) All amounts and percentages are based on the principal amount scheduled to be paid on each automobile contract contracts. The information in the table represents all automobile contracts we service, excluding certain contracts we have serviced for third-parties on which we earn servicing fees only, and have no credit risk.
- (2) The finance receivables portfolio is comprised of contracts we originated prior to January 2018.
- (3) Net charge-offs include the remaining principal balance, after the application of the net proceeds from the liquidation of the vehicle (excluding accrued and unpaid interest) and amounts collected subsequent to the date of charge-off, including some recoveries which have been classified as other income in the accompanying financial statements.
- (4) The fair value portfolio is comprised of contracts we have originated since January 2018.

Extensions

In certain circumstances we will grant obligors one-month payment extensions to assist them with temporary cash flow problems. In general, an obligor will not be permitted more than two such extensions in any 12-month period and no more than six over the life of the contract. The only modification of terms is to advance the obligor's next due date, generally by one month, though in some cases we may permit a longer extension, and in any case an advance in the maturity date corresponding to the advance of the due date. There are no other concessions such as a reduction in interest rate, forgiveness of principal or of accrued interest. Accordingly, we consider such extensions to be insignificant delays in payments rather than troubled debt restructurings.

The basic question in deciding to grant an extension is whether or not we will (a) be delaying an inevitable repossession and liquidation or (b) risk losing the vehicle as a result of not being able to locate the obligor and vehicle. In both of those situations, the loss would likely be higher than if the vehicle had been repossessed without the extension. The benefits of granting an extension include minimizing current losses and delinquencies, minimizing lifetime losses, getting the obligor's account current (or close to it) and building goodwill with the obligor so that he

might prioritize us over other creditors on future payments. Our servicing staff are trained to identify when a past due obligor is facing a temporary problem that may be resolved with an extension. In most cases, the extension will be granted in conjunction with our receiving a past due payment or partial payment from the obligor, thereby indicating an additional monetary and psychological commitment to the contract on the obligor's part.

The credit assessment for granting an extension is initially made by our collector, who bases the recommendation on the collector's discussions with the obligor. In such assessments the collector will consider, among other things, the following factors: (1) the reason the obligor has fallen behind in payments; (2) whether or not the reason for the delinquency is temporary, and if it is, have conditions changed such that the obligor can begin making regular monthly payments again after the extension; (3) the obligor's past payment history, including past extensions if applicable; and (4) the obligor's willingness to communicate and cooperate on resolving the delinquency. If the collector believes the obligor is a good candidate for an extension, he must obtain approval from his supervisor, who will review the same factors stated above prior to offering the extension to the obligor. After receiving an extension, an account remains subject to our normal policies and procedures for interest accrual, reporting delinquency and recognizing charge-offs. We believe that a prudent extension program is an integral component to mitigating losses in our portfolio of sub-prime automobile receivables. The table below summarizes the status, as of December 31, 2019, for accounts that received extensions from 2008 through 2018:

Period of Extension	# of Extensions Granted	Active or Paid	% Active or	Charged Off >	% Charged	Charged Off <=	% Charged	Avg Months
		Off at December 31, 2019	Paid Off at December 31, 2019	6 Months After Extension	Off > 6 Months After Extension	6 Months After Extension	Off <= 6 Months After Extension	to Charge Off Post Extension
2008	35,588	10,710	30.1%	20,059	56.4%	4,819	13.5%	19
2009	32,226	10,274	31.9%	16,168	50.2%	5,783	17.9%	17
2010	26,167	12,165	46.5%	12,003	45.9%	1,999	7.6%	19
2011	18,786	10,975	58.4%	6,879	36.6%	932	5.0%	19
2012	18,783	11,329	60.3%	6,658	35.4%	796	4.2%	18
2013	23,398	11,265	48.1%	11,157	47.7%	976	4.2%	22
2014	25,773	10,966	42.5%	13,981	54.2%	826	3.2%	23
2015	53,319	24,793	46.5%	27,444	51.5%	1,082	2.0%	22
2016	80,897	44,105	54.5%	34,859	43.1%	1,933	2.4%	19
2017	133,881	79,941	59.7%	46,980	35.1%	6,926	5.2%	14
2018	121,531	89,876	74.0%	25,648	21.1%	6,007	4.9%	9

We view these results as a confirmation of the effectiveness of our extension program. We consider accounts that have had extensions and were active or paid off at December 31, 2019 to be successful. Successful extensions result in continued payments of interest and principal (including payment in full in many cases). Without the extension, however, the account may have defaulted and we would have likely incurred a substantial loss and no additional interest revenue.

For extension accounts that ultimately charged off, we consider accounts that charged off more than six months after the extension to be at least partially successful. In such cases, in spite of the ultimate loss, we received additional payments of principal and interest that otherwise we would not have received.

Additional information about our extensions is provided in the tables below:

	For the Year Ended		
	December 31, 2019	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Average number of extensions granted per month	5,962	10,128	11,157
Average number of outstanding accounts	177,256	174,738	173,137
Average monthly extensions as % of average outstandings	3.4%	5.8%	6.4%

	December 31, 2019		December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
	Number of Contracts	Amount	Number of Contracts	Amount	Number of Contracts	Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Contracts with one extension	28,807	\$ 400,202	27,991	\$ 374,116	32,740	\$ 443,042
Contracts with two extensions	17,895	229,555	20,789	277,497	24,375	335,643
Contracts with three extensions	14,423	181,896	17,210	231,905	16,378	227,980
Contracts with four extensions	12,367	153,170	13,583	185,114	9,506	129,795
Contracts with five extensions	8,742	103,989	9,189	121,836	5,096	67,703
Contracts with six extensions	5,454	60,743	5,152	64,134	2,549	31,067
	87,688	\$ 1,129,555	93,914	\$ 1,254,602	90,644	\$ 1,235,230
Gross servicing portfolio	177,604	\$ 2,416,042	176,042	\$ 2,380,847	173,998	\$ 2,333,524

Non-Accrual Receivables

It is not uncommon for our obligors to fall behind in their payments. However, with the diligent efforts of our servicing staff and systems for managing our collection efforts, we regularly work with our customers to resolve delinquencies. Our staff is trained to employ a counseling approach to assist our customers with their cash flow management skills and help them to prioritize their payment obligations in order to avoid losing their vehicle to repossession. Through our experience, we have learned that once a contract becomes greater than 90 days past due, it is more likely than not that the delinquency will not be resolved and will ultimately result in a charge-off. As a result, for contracts originated prior to January 2018 that are not accounted for under the fair value method, we do not recognize any interest income for contracts that are greater than 90 days past due.

If an obligor exceeds the 90 days past due threshold at the end of one period, and then makes the necessary payments such that it becomes equal to or below 90 days delinquent at the end of a subsequent period, the related contract would be restored to full accrual status for our financial reporting purposes. At the time a contract is restored to full accrual in this manner, there can be no assurance that full repayment of interest and principal will ultimately be made. However, we monitor each obligor's payment performance and are aware of the severity of his delinquency at any time. The fact that the delinquency has been reduced below the 90-day threshold is a positive indicator. Should the contract again exceed the 90-day delinquency level at the end of any reporting period, it would again be reflected as a non-accrual account.

Our policy for placing a contract on non-accrual status is independent of our policy to grant an extension. In practice, it would be an uncommon circumstance where an extension was granted and the account remained in a non-accrual status, since the goal of the extension is to bring the contract current (or nearly current).

Securitization of Automobile Contracts

Throughout the period for which information is presented in this report, we have purchased automobile contracts with the intention of financing them on a long-term basis through securitizations, and on an interim basis through warehouse credit facilities. All such financings have involved identification of specific automobile contracts, sale of those automobile contracts (and associated rights) to one of our special-purpose subsidiaries, and issuance of asset-backed securities to be purchased by institutional investors. Depending on the structure, these transactions may be accounted for under generally accepted accounting principles as sales of the automobile contracts or as secured financings.

When structured to be treated as a secured financing for accounting purposes, the subsidiary is consolidated with us. Accordingly, the sold automobile contracts and the related debt appear as assets and liabilities, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet. We then periodically (i) recognize interest and fee income on the contracts, (ii) recognize interest expense on the securities issued in the transaction and (iii) record as expense a provision for credit losses on the contracts. Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted the fair value method of accounting for finance receivables acquired on or after that date. For these receivables, we recognize interest income on a level yield basis using that internal rate of return as the applicable interest rate. We do not record an expense for provision for credit losses on these receivables because such credit losses are included in our computation of the appropriate level yield.

Since 1994 we have conducted 84 term securitizations of automobile contracts that we originated under our regular programs. As of December 31, 2019, 21 of those securitizations are active and all are structured as secured financings.

We have generally conducted our securitizations on a quarterly basis, near the end of each calendar quarter, resulting in four securitizations per calendar year. In recent years, we have found that the securitizations we conducted in December of those years, had a tendency toward less investor demand in the related bonds than the securitizations we conducted in other times of the year. As a result, in 2015, we elected to defer what would have been our December securitization in favor of a securitization in January 2016, and since then have conducted our securitizations near the beginning of each calendar quarter.

Our history of term securitizations, over the most recent ten years, is summarized in the table below:

Recent Asset-Backed Securitizations		
<u>Period</u>	<u>Number of Term Securitizations</u>	<u>Amount of Receivables</u>
		<i>\$ in thousands</i>
2010	1	103,772
2011	3	335,593
2012	4	603,500
2013	4	778,000
2014	4	923,000
2015	3	795,000
2016	4	1,214,997
2017	4	870,000
2018	4	883,452
2019	4	1,014,124

From time to time we have also completed financings of our residual interests in other securitizations that we and our affiliates previously sponsored. On May 16, 2018, we completed a \$40.0 million securitization of residual interests from previously issued securitizations. In this residual interest financing transaction, qualified institutional buyers purchased \$40.0 million of asset-backed notes secured by residual interests in thirteen CPS securitizations consecutively conducted from September 2013 through December 2016, and an 80% interest in a CPS affiliate that owns the residual interests in the four CPS securitizations conducted in 2017. The sold notes (“2018-1 Notes”), issued by CPS Auto Securitization Trust 2018-1, consist of a single class with a coupon of 8.595%.

Generally, prior to a securitization transaction we fund our automobile contract acquisitions primarily with proceeds from warehouse credit facilities. Our current short-term funding capacity is \$300 million, comprising three credit facilities. The first \$100 million credit facility was established in May 2012. This facility was most recently renewed in September 2018, extending the revolving period to September 2020, and adding an amortization period through September 2021. In April 2015, we entered into a second \$100 million facility. This facility was renewed in April 2017 and again in February 2019, extending the revolving period to February 2021, followed by an amortization period to February 2023. In November 2015, we entered into a third \$100 million facility. This facility was renewed in November 2017 and again in December 2019, extending the revolving period to December 2021, followed by an amortization period to December 2023.

In a securitization and in our warehouse credit facilities, we are required to make certain representations and warranties, which are generally similar to the representations and warranties made by dealers in connection with our purchase of the automobile contracts. If we breach any of our representations or warranties, we may be required to repurchase the automobile contract at a price equal to the principal balance plus accrued and unpaid interest. We may then be entitled under the terms of our dealer agreement to require the selling dealer to repurchase the contract at a price equal to our purchase price, less any principal payments made by the customer. Subject to any recourse against dealers, we will bear the risk of loss on repossession and resale of vehicles under automobile contracts that we repurchase.

Whether a securitization is treated as a secured financing or as a sale for financial accounting purposes, the related special purpose subsidiary may be unable to release excess cash to us if the credit performance of the securitized automobile contracts falls short of pre-determined standards. Such releases represent a material portion of the cash that we use to fund our operations. An unexpected deterioration in the performance of securitized automobile contracts could therefore have a material adverse effect on both our liquidity and results of operations, regardless of whether such automobile contracts are treated as having been sold or as having been financed.

Certain of our securitization transactions and our warehouse credit facilities contain various financial covenants requiring certain minimum financial ratios and results. Such covenants include maintaining minimum levels of

liquidity and net worth and not exceeding maximum leverage levels. In addition, certain securitization and non-securitization related debt contain cross-default provisions that would allow certain creditors to declare a default if a default occurred under a different facility. As of December 31, 2019 we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Competition

The automobile financing business is highly competitive. We compete with a number of national, regional and local finance companies with operations similar to ours. In addition, competitors or potential competitors include other types of financial services companies, such as banks, leasing companies, credit unions providing retail loan financing and lease financing for new and used vehicles, and captive finance companies affiliated with major automobile manufacturers. Many of our competitors and potential competitors possess substantially greater financial, sales, technical, personnel and other resources than we do. Moreover, our future profitability will be directly related to the availability and cost of our capital in relation to the availability and cost of capital to our competitors. Our competitors and potential competitors include far larger, more established companies that have access to capital markets for unsecured commercial paper and investment grade-rated debt instruments and to other funding sources that may be unavailable to us. Many of these companies also have long-standing relationships with dealers and may provide other financing to dealers, including floor plan financing for the dealers' purchase of automobiles from manufacturers, which we do not offer.

We believe that the principal competitive factors affecting a dealer's decision to offer automobile contracts for sale to a particular financing source are the monthly payment amount made available to the dealer's customer, the purchase price offered for the automobile contracts, the timeliness of the response to the dealer upon submission of the initial application, the amount of required documentation, the consistency and timeliness of purchases and the financial stability of the funding source. While we believe that we can obtain from dealers sufficient automobile contracts for purchase at attractive prices by consistently applying reasonable underwriting criteria and making timely purchases of qualifying automobile contracts, there can be no assurance that we will do so.

Regulation

Numerous federal and state consumer protection laws, including the federal Truth-In-Lending Act, the federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the Federal Trade Commission Act, regulate consumer credit transactions. These laws mandate certain disclosures with respect to finance charges on automobile contracts and impose certain other restrictions. In most states, a license is required to engage in the business of purchasing automobile contracts from dealers. In addition, laws in a number of states impose limitations on the amount of finance charges that may be charged by dealers on credit sales. The so-called Lemon Laws enacted by various states provide certain rights to purchasers with respect to automobiles that fail to satisfy express warranties. The application of Lemon Laws or violation of such other federal and state laws may give rise to a claim or defense of a customer against a dealer and its assignees, including us and those who purchase automobile contracts from us. The dealer agreement contains representations by the dealer that, as of the date of assignment of automobile contracts, no such claims or defenses have been asserted or threatened with respect to the automobile contracts and that all requirements of such federal and state laws have been complied with in all material respects. Although a dealer would be obligated to repurchase automobile contracts that involve a breach of such warranty, there can be no assurance that the dealer will have the financial resources to satisfy its repurchase obligations. Certain of these laws also regulate our servicing activities, including our methods of collection.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was enacted in July 2010, and many of its provisions became effective in July 2011. The Dodd-Frank Act restructured the regulation and supervision of the financial services industry and created the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"). The CFPB has rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement authority over "non-banks," including us. The CFPB is specifically authorized, among other things, to take actions to prevent companies from engaging in "unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts or practices in connection with consumer financial products and services, and to issue rules requiring enhanced disclosures for consumer financial products or services. The CFPB also has authority to interpret, enforce and issue regulations implementing enumerated consumer laws, including certain laws that apply to us. Further, the CFPB has general supervisory and examination authority over non-depository "larger participants" in the market for automotive finance companies. We are subject to such supervision and examination.

The Dodd-Frank Act and related regulations are likely to affect our cost of doing business, may limit or expand our permissible activities, may affect the competitive balance within our industry and market areas and could have a material adverse effect on us. We continue to assess the Dodd-Frank Act's probable effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and to monitor developments involving the entities charged with promulgating regulations. However, the ultimate effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on the financial services industry in general, and on us in particular, is uncertain at this time.

In addition to the CFPB, other state and federal agencies have the ability to regulate aspects of our business. For example, the Dodd-Frank Act provides a mechanism for state Attorneys General to investigate us. In addition, the Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction to investigate aspects of our business. We expect that regulatory investigation by both state and federal agencies will continue, and there can be no assurance that the results of such investigations will not have a material adverse effect on us.

We believe that we are currently in material compliance with applicable statutes and regulations; however, there can be no assurance that we are correct, nor that we will be able to maintain such compliance. The past or future failure to comply with applicable statutes and regulations could have a material adverse effect on us. Furthermore, the adoption of additional statutes and regulations, changes in the interpretation and enforcement of current statutes and regulations or the expansion of our business into jurisdictions that have adopted more stringent regulatory requirements than those in which we currently conduct business could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, due to the consumer-oriented nature of our industry and the application of certain laws and regulations, industry participants are regularly named as defendants in litigation involving alleged violations of federal and state laws and regulations and consumer law torts, including fraud. Many of these actions involve alleged violations of consumer protection laws. A significant judgment against us or within the industry in connection with any such litigation could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Employees

As of December 31, 2019, we had 1,010 employees. The breakdown of the employees is as follows: 10 were senior management personnel; 612 were servicing personnel; 202 were automobile contract origination personnel; 122 were sales personnel and program development (70 of whom were sales representatives); 64 were various administration personnel including human resources, legal, accounting and systems. We believe that our relations with our employees are good. We are not a party to any collective bargaining agreement.

Director Identification Information

Our directors and their principal occupations are as follows: Charles E. Bradley, Jr., chief executive officer of Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc.; Chis A. Adams, owner and chief executive officer of Latrobe Pattern Company and K Casting Inc., which are firms engaged in the business of fabricating metal parts; Louis M. Grasso, retired president of PFC Corporation, a mortgage lender; Brian J. Rayhill, a practicing attorney in New York state; William B. Roberts, president of Monmouth Capital Corp., an investment firm that specialized in management buyouts; Gregory S. Washer, retired president of Clean Fun Promotional Marketing LLC, a promotional marketing company; and Daniel S. Wood, retired president of Carclo Technical Plastics, a manufacturer of customer injection moldings.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Charles E. Bradley, Jr., 60, has been our President and a director since our formation in March 1991, and was elected Chairman of the Board of Directors in July 2001. In January 1992, Mr. Bradley was appointed Chief Executive Officer. From April 1989 to November 1990, he served as Chief Operating Officer of Barnard and Company, a private investment firm. From September 1987 to March 1989, Mr. Bradley, Jr. was an associate of The Harding Group, a private investment banking firm. Mr. Bradley does not currently serve on the board of directors of any other publicly-traded companies.

Jeffrey P. Fritz, 60, has been Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since March 2014. Prior to that, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since April 2006. He was Senior Vice President of Accounting from August 2004 through March 2006 and served as a consultant to us from May 2004 to August 2004. He also served as our Chief Financial Officer from our inception through May 1999. He is a licensed Certified Public Accountant and has previously practiced public accounting.

Michael T. Lavin, 47, has been Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since February 2019, and our Chief Legal Officer since March 2014. Prior to that, he was our Senior Vice President – General Counsel since March 2013, Senior Vice President and Corporate Counsel since May 2009 and our Vice President- Legal since joining the Company in November of 2001. Mr. Lavin was previously engaged as a law clerk and an associate with the San Diego based large law firm (now defunct) of Edwards, Sooy & Byron from 1996 through 2000 and then as an associate with the Orange County based firm of Trachtman & Trachtman from 2000 through 2001. Mr. Lavin also clerked for the San Diego District Attorney's office and Orange County Public Defender's office.

Curtis K. Powell, 63, has been Senior Vice President – Product Development since May 2010. Previously he was our Senior Vice President – Sales from March 2007 to May 2010. Prior to that, he was our Senior Vice President of Originations from June 2001 to March 2007. Prior to that, he was our Senior Vice President – Marketing, from April

1995 to June 2001. He joined us in January 1993 as an independent marketing representative until being appointed Regional Vice President of Marketing for Southern California in November 1994. From June 1985 through January 1993, Mr. Powell was in the retail automobile sales and leasing business.

Mark A. Creatura, 60, has been Senior Vice President – General Counsel since October 1996. From October 1993 through October 1996, he was Vice President and General Counsel at Urethane Technologies, Inc., a polyurethane chemicals formulator. Mr. Creatura was previously engaged in the private practice of law with the Los Angeles law firm of Troy & Gould Professional Corporation, from October 1985 through October 1993.

Christopher Terry, 52, has been Senior Vice President of Risk Management since May 2017. Prior to that he was our Senior Vice President of Servicing from May 2005 to August 2013. He was Senior Vice President of Asset Recovery from August 2013 to May 2017 and from January 2003 to May 2005. He joined us in January 1995 as a loan officer, held a series of successively more responsible positions, and was promoted to Vice President - Asset Recovery in June 1999. Mr. Terry was previously a branch manager with Norwest Financial from 1990 to October 1994.

Teri L. Robinson, 57, has been Senior Vice President of Originations since April 2007. Prior to that, she held the position of Vice President of Originations since August 1998. She joined the Company in June 1991 as an Operations Specialist, and held a series of successively more responsible positions. Previously, Ms. Robinson held an administrative position at Greco & Associates.

Laurie A. Straten, 53, has been Senior Vice President of Servicing since August 2013. Prior to that, she was our Senior Vice President of Asset Recovery since April 2013, and before that she held the position of Vice President of Asset Recovery starting in April 2005. She started with the Company in March 1996 as a bankruptcy specialist and took on more responsibility within Asset Recovery over time. Prior to joining CPS she worked for the FDIC and served in the United States Marine Corps.

John P. Harton, 55, has been Senior Vice President - Sales since March 2014. Prior to that, he held the position of Vice President – Marketing since April 2010. He joined the Company in April 1996 as a loan officer, held a series of successively more responsible positions, and was promoted to Vice President - Originations in June 2007. Mr. Harton was previously a branch manager with American General Finance from 1990 to March 1996.

Danny Bharwani, 52, has been Senior Vice President – Finance since April 2016. Previously, he was our Vice President – Finance from June 2002. He joined us as Assistant Controller in August 1997. Mr. Bharwani was previously employed as Assistant Controller at The Todd-AO Corporation, from 1989 to 1997.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company’s Common Stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Market, under the symbol "CPSS." The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices as reported by Nasdaq for our Common Stock for the periods shown.

	High	Low
January 1 - March 31, 2018.....	4.69	3.67
April 1 - June 30, 2018.....	4.48	3.27
July 1 - September 30, 2018.....	4.22	3.18
October 1 - December 31, 2018.....	4.06	2.99
January 1 - March 31, 2019.....	4.65	3.01
April 1 - June 30, 2019.....	3.97	3.25
July 1 - September 30, 2019.....	3.82	3.29
October 1 - December 31, 2019.....	3.60	3.06

As of January 1, 2020, there were 28 holders of record of the Company’s Common Stock. To date, we have not declared or paid any dividends on our Common Stock. The payment of future dividends, if any, on our Common Stock is within the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon our income, capital requirements and financial condition, and other relevant factors. The instruments governing our outstanding debt place certain restrictions on the payment of dividends. We do not intend to declare any dividends on our Common Stock in the foreseeable future, but instead intend to retain any cash flow for use in our operations.

The table below presents information regarding outstanding options to purchase our Common Stock as of December 31, 2019:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders.....	15,347,949	\$ 4.59	1,457,581
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders.....	-	-	-
Total	15,347,949	\$ 4.59	1,457,581

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities in the Fourth Quarter


<u>Period(1)</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>Average Price Paid per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(2)</u>	<u>Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs</u>
October 2019.....	-	\$ -	-	\$ 6,144,520
November 2019....	-	-	-	6,144,520
December 2019....	-	-	-	6,144,520
Total	-	\$ -	-	

(1) Each monthly period is the calendar month.

(2) Through December 31, 2019, our board of directors had authorized the purchase of up to \$74.5 million of our outstanding securities, which program was first announced in our annual report for the year 2002, filed on March 26, 2003. All purchases described in the table above were under the plan announced in March 2003, which has no fixed expiration date. As of December 31, 2019, we have purchased \$5.0 million in principal amount of debt securities and \$63.4 million of our common stock representing 17,696,200 shares.

Stock Performance Graph

The line graph that follows compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return of the Nasdaq US Benchmark Total Return Index, and the Nasdaq Financial Services Index for the five years ended December 31, 2019, presented in each case as of the last business day of the year. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2014 in each of our common stock, Nasdaq US Benchmark Total Return Index, and the Nasdaq OMX Financial Services Index, and that all dividends were reinvested. Past performance should not be regarded as indicative of the future.



The data presented in the above graph are tabulated below:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>
CPSS	100.00	70.52	69.57	56.39	40.90	45.79
Nasdaq US Total Return	100.00	100.48	113.55	137.83	130.33	170.96
Nasdaq Financial Services	100.00	97.63	111.99	146.22	135.92	188.03

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table presents our selected consolidated financial data and operating data as of and for the dates indicated. The data under the captions "Statement of Income Data" and "Balance Sheet Data" have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The remainder is derived from other records of ours. You should read the selected consolidated financial data together with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto that are included in this report, and in our quarterly and periodic filings.

(in thousands, except per share data)	As of and For the Year Ended December 31,					
	<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	
Statement of Income Data						
Revenues:						
Interest income	\$ 337,096	\$ 380,297	\$ 424,174	\$ 408,996	\$ 349,912	
Other income	8,704	9,478	10,209	13,286	13,738	
Total revenues	<u>345,800</u>	<u>389,775</u>	<u>434,383</u>	<u>422,282</u>	<u>363,650</u>	
Expenses:						
Employee costs	80,877	79,318	72,967	65,549	59,556	
General and administrative	59,460	57,208	50,287	48,620	42,349	
Interest expense	110,528	101,466	92,345	79,941	57,745	
Provision for credit losses	85,773	133,080	186,713	178,511	142,618	
Total expenses	<u>336,638</u>	<u>371,072</u>	<u>402,312</u>	<u>372,621</u>	<u>302,268</u>	
Income before income tax expense	9,162	18,703	32,071	49,661	61,382	
Income tax expense	3,756	3,841	28,306	20,361	26,701	
Net income	<u>\$ 5,406</u>	<u>\$ 14,862</u>	<u>\$ 3,765</u>	<u>\$ 29,300</u>	<u>\$ 34,681</u>	
Earnings per share-basic	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.17	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.34	
Earnings per share-diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.14	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.10	
Pre-tax income per share-basic (1)	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.85	\$ 1.41	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.37	
Pre-tax income per share-diluted (2)	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.75	\$ 1.18	\$ 1.71	\$ 1.94	
Weighted average shares outstanding-basic	22,416	21,989	22,687	24,356	25,935	
Weighted average shares outstanding-diluted	24,064	24,988	27,214	29,035	31,584	
Balance Sheet Data						
Total assets	\$ 2,539,249	\$ 2,485,680	\$ 2,424,841	\$ 2,410,402	\$ 2,128,925	
Cash and cash equivalents	5,295	12,787	12,731	13,936	19,322	
Restricted cash and equivalents	135,537	117,323	111,965	112,754	106,054	
Finance receivables, net	885,890	1,454,709	2,195,797	2,172,365	1,909,490	
Finance receivables measured at fair value.....	1,444,038	821,066	-	4	61	
Warehouse lines of credit	134,791	136,847	112,408	103,358	194,056	
Residual interest financing	39,478	39,106	-	-	9,042	
Securitization trust debt	2,097,728	2,063,627	2,083,215	2,080,900	1,720,021	
Long-term debt	17,534	17,290	16,566	14,949	15,138	
Shareholders' equity	202,641	197,118	183,937	186,218	161,159	

(1) *Income before income tax expense divided by weighted average shares outstanding-basic. Included for illustrative purposes because some of the periods presented include significant income tax expense or benefit.*

(2) *Income before income tax expense divided by weighted average shares outstanding-diluted. Included for illustrative purposes because some of the periods presented include significant income tax expense or benefit.*

(in thousands)	As of and				
	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Contract Originations / Securitizations					
Automobile contract originations.....	\$ 1,002,782	\$ 902,416	\$ 859,069	\$ 1,088,785	\$ 1,060,538
Automobile contracts securitized.....	1,014,124	883,452	870,000	1,214,997	795,000
Managed Portfolio Data					
Contracts associated with the allowance for finance credit losses.....					
	\$ 923,239	\$ 1,551,797	\$ 2,333,497	\$ 2,307,956	\$ 2,030,652
Contracts measured at fair value	1,492,803	829,039	-	-	-
Contracts held by consolidated subsidiaries.....	\$ 2,416,042	\$ 2,380,836	\$ 2,333,497	\$ 2,307,956	\$ 2,030,652
Fireside portfolio.....	-	-	-	3	61
Contracts held by non-consolidated subsidiaries.....	-	-	-	9	40
Third party portfolios (1).....	-	11	33	102	383
Total managed portfolio.....	\$ 2,416,042	\$ 2,380,847	\$ 2,333,530	\$ 2,308,070	\$ 2,031,136
Average managed portfolio.....	2,404,710	2,341,957	2,334,015	2,226,073	1,847,945
Weighted average fixed effective interest rate					
(total managed portfolio) (2).....	18.9%	18.9%	19.2%	19.4%	19.5%
Core operating expenses					
(% of average managed portfolio) (3).....	7.8%	5.8%	5.3%	5.1%	5.5%
Allowance for finance credit losses.....	\$ 11,640	\$ 67,376	\$ 109,187	\$ 95,578	\$ 75,603
Allowance for finance credit losses (% of total contracts associated with the allowance).....	1.3%	4.3%	4.7%	4.1%	3.7%
Aggregate allowance for finance credit losses and repossessions in inventory.....	\$ 33,029	\$ 91,940	\$ 133,211	\$ 124,503	\$ 102,557
Aggregate allowance for finance credit losses (% of repossessions in inventory and contracts associated with the allowance).....	3.6%	5.9%	5.7%	5.4%	5.1%
Total delinquencies (2) (4)	13.6%	12.3%	9.8%	9.2%	7.6%
Total delinquencies and repossessions in inventory (2) (4)	15.5%	13.9%	11.2%	11.0%	9.5%
Net charge-offs, finance receivables portfolio (2) (5) (6).....	12.2%	9.3%	7.7%	7.0%	6.4%
Net charge-offs, fair value receivables portfolio (2) (5) (6).....	3.8%	1.3%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Net charge-offs (2) (5)	7.9%	7.7%	7.7%	7.0%	6.4%

- (1) Receivables related to the third party portfolios, on which we earn only a servicing fee.
- (2) Excludes receivables related to the third party portfolios.
- (3) Total expenses excluding provision for credit losses, provision for contingent liabilities, interest expense, loss on sale of receivables and impairment loss on residual assets.
- (4) For further information regarding delinquencies and the managed portfolio, see the table captioned "Delinquency Experience," in Item I, Part I of this report and the notes to that table.
- (5) Net charge-offs include the remaining principal balance, after the application of the net proceeds from the liquidation of the vehicle (excluding accrued and unpaid interest) and amounts collected subsequent to the date of the charge-off, including some recoveries which have been classified as other income in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. For further information regarding charge-offs, see the table captioned "Net Charge-Off Experience," in Item I, Part I of this report and the notes to that table.
- (6) The finance receivables portfolio is comprised of contracts we acquired prior to January 2018. The fair value receivables portfolio is comprised of contracts we have acquired since January 2018.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and other information included or incorporated by reference herein.

Overview

We are a specialty finance company. Our business is to purchase and service retail automobile contracts originated primarily by franchised automobile dealers and, to a lesser extent, by select independent dealers in the United States in the sale of new and used automobiles, light trucks and passenger vans. Through our automobile contract purchases, we provide indirect financing to the customers of dealers who have limited credit histories or past credit problems, who we refer to as sub-prime customers. We serve as an alternative source of financing for dealers, facilitating sales to customers who otherwise might not be able to obtain financing from traditional sources, such as commercial banks, credit unions and the captive finance companies affiliated with major automobile manufacturers. In addition to purchasing installment purchase contracts directly from dealers, we also originate vehicle purchase money loans by lending directly to consumers and have (i) acquired installment purchase contracts in four merger and acquisition transactions, and (ii) purchased immaterial amounts of vehicle purchase money loans from non-affiliated lenders. In this report, we refer to all of such contracts and loans as "automobile contracts."

We were incorporated and began our operations in March 1991. From inception through September 30, 2019, we have originated a total of approximately \$16.0 billion of automobile contracts, primarily by purchasing retail installment sales contracts from dealers, and to a lesser degree, by originating loans secured by automobiles directly with consumers. In addition, we acquired a total of approximately \$822.3 million of automobile contracts in mergers and acquisitions in 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2011. Recent contract purchase volumes and managed portfolio levels are shown in the table below:

Contract Purchases and Outstanding Managed Portfolio

\$ in thousands

<u>Year</u>	<u>Contracts Purchased in Period</u>	<u>Managed Portfolio at Period End</u>
2010	\$ 113,023	\$ 756,203
2011	284,236	794,649
2012	551,742	897,575
2013	764,087	1,231,422
2014	944,944	1,643,920
2015	1,060,538	2,031,136
2016	1,088,785	2,308,070
2017	859,069	2,333,530
2018	902,416	2,380,847
2019	1,002,782	2,416,042

Our principal executive offices are in Las Vegas, Nevada. Most of our operational and administrative functions take place in Irvine, California. Credit and underwriting functions are performed primarily in that California branch with certain of these functions also performed in our Florida and Nevada branches. We service our automobile contracts from our California, Nevada, Virginia, Florida and Illinois branches.

The programs we offer to dealers and consumers are intended to serve a wide range of sub-prime customers, primarily through franchised new car dealers. We originate automobile contracts with the intention of financing them on a long-term basis through securitizations. Securitizations are transactions in which we sell a specified pool of contracts to a special purpose subsidiary of ours, which in turn issues asset-backed securities to fund the purchase of the pool of contracts from us.

Securitization and Warehouse Credit Facilities

Throughout the period for which information is presented in this report, we have purchased automobile contracts with the intention of financing them on a long-term basis through securitizations, and on an interim basis through warehouse credit facilities. All such financings have involved identification of specific automobile contracts, sale of those automobile contracts (and associated rights) to one of our special-purpose subsidiaries, and issuance of asset-backed securities to be purchased by institutional investors. Depending on the structure, these transactions may be accounted for under generally accepted accounting

principles as sales of the automobile contracts or as secured financings. All of our active securitizations are structured as secured financings.

When structured to be treated as a secured financing for accounting purposes, the subsidiary is consolidated with us. Accordingly, the sold automobile contracts and the related debt appear as assets and liabilities, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet. We then periodically (i) recognize interest and fee income on the contracts, and (ii) recognize interest expense on the securities issued in the transaction. For automobile contracts acquired before 2018, we also periodically record as expense a provision for credit losses on the contracts; for automobile contracts acquired after 2017 we take account of estimated credit losses in our computation of a level yield used to determine recognition of interest on the contracts.

Since 1994 we have conducted 84 term securitizations of automobile contracts that we originated. As of December 31, 2019, 21 of those securitizations are active and all are structured as secured financings. Since September 2010 we have utilized senior subordinated structures without any financial guarantees. We have generally conducted our securitizations on a quarterly basis, near the end of each calendar quarter, resulting in four securitizations per calendar year. However, in 2015, we elected to defer what would have been our December securitization in favor of a securitization in January 2016, and since that time have generally conducted our securitizations near the beginning of each calendar quarter.

Our history of term securitizations, over the most recent ten years, is summarized in the table below:

Recent Asset-Backed Term Securitizations		
<i>\$ in thousands</i>		
Period	Number of Term Securitizations	Amount of Receivables
2010	1	103,772
2011	3	335,593
2012	4	603,500
2013	4	778,000
2014	4	923,000
2015	3	795,000
2016	4	1,214,997
2017	4	870,000
2018	4	883,452
2019	4	1,014,124

Generally, prior to a securitization transaction we fund our automobile contract acquisitions primarily with proceeds from warehouse credit facilities. Our current short-term funding capacity is \$300 million, comprising three credit facilities. The first \$100 million credit facility was established in May 2012. This facility was most recently renewed in September 2018, extending the revolving period to September 2020, with an optional amortization period through September 2021. In April 2015, we entered into a second \$100 million facility. This facility was renewed in April 2017 and again in February 2019, extending the revolving period to February 2021, followed by an amortization period to February 2023. In November 2015, we entered into a third \$100 million facility. This facility was renewed in November 2017 and again in December 2019, extending the revolving period to December 2021, followed by an amortization period to December 2023.

In a securitization and in our warehouse credit facilities, we are required to make certain representations and warranties, which are generally similar to the representations and warranties made by dealers in connection with our purchase of the automobile contracts. If we breach any of our representations or warranties, we will be obligated to repurchase the automobile contract at a price equal to the principal balance plus accrued and unpaid interest. We may then be entitled under the terms of our dealer agreement to require the selling dealer to repurchase the contract at a price equal to our purchase price, less any principal payments made by the customer. Subject to any recourse against dealers, we will bear the risk of loss on repossession and resale of vehicles under automobile contracts that we repurchase.

In a securitization, the related special purpose subsidiary may be unable to release excess cash to us if the credit performance of the securitized automobile contracts falls short of pre-determined standards. Such releases represent a material portion of the cash that we use to fund our operations. An unexpected deterioration in the performance of securitized automobile contracts could therefore have a material adverse effect on both our liquidity and results of operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

We believe that our accounting policies related to (a) Finance Receivables at Fair Value, (b) Allowance for Finance Credit Losses, (c) Amortization of Deferred Origination Costs and Acquisition Fees, (d) Term Securitizations, (e) Accrual for Contingent Liabilities and (f) Income Taxes are the most critical to understanding and evaluating our reported financial results. Such policies are described below.

Allowance for Finance Credit Losses

In order to estimate an appropriate allowance for losses incurred on finance receivables, we use a loss allowance methodology commonly referred to as "static pooling," which stratifies our finance receivable portfolio into separately identified pools based on the period of origination. Using analytical and formula driven techniques, we estimate an allowance for finance credit losses, which we believe is adequate for probable incurred credit losses that can be reasonably estimated in our portfolio of automobile contracts. Net losses incurred on finance receivables are charged to the allowance. We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance by examining current delinquencies, the characteristics of the portfolio, prospective liquidation values of the underlying collateral and general economic and market conditions. As circumstances change, our level of provisioning and/or allowance may change as well. Receivables acquired after 2017, are accounted for using fair value and will have no allowance for finance credit losses in accordance with the fair value method of accounting for finance receivables.

Broad economic factors such as recession and significant changes in unemployment levels influence the credit performance of our portfolio, as does the weighted average age of the receivables at any given time. Our internal credit performance data consistently show that new receivables have lower levels of delinquency and losses early in their lives, with delinquencies increasing throughout their lives and losses gradually increasing to a peak between 36 and 42 months, after which they gradually decrease.

The credit performance of our portfolio is also significantly influenced by our underwriting guidelines and credit criteria we use when evaluating contracts for purchase from dealers. We regularly evaluate our portfolio credit performance and modify our purchase criteria to maximize the credit performance of our portfolio, while maintaining competitive programs and levels of service for our dealers.

We generally do not lower the contractual interest rate or waive or forgive principal when our borrowers incur financial difficulty on either a temporary or permanent basis. An exception to this policy is when a court order mandates the terms of the contract to be modified, such as in a Chapter 13 bankruptcy proceeding. In such cases, which represent an immaterial portion of our portfolio of finance receivables, we have estimated the amount of impairment that results from such modification and established an appropriate allowance within our Allowance for Finance Credit Losses.

Finance Receivables Measured at Fair Value

Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted the fair value method of accounting for finance receivables acquired on or after that date. For each finance receivable acquired after 2017, we consider the price paid on the purchase date as the fair value for such receivable. We estimate the cash to be received in the future with respect to such receivables, based on our experience with similar receivables acquired in the past. We then compute the internal rate of return that results in the present value of those estimated cash receipts being equal to the purchase date fair value. Thereafter, we recognize interest income on such receivables on a level yield basis using that internal rate of return as the applicable interest rate. Cash received with respect to such receivables is applied first against such interest income, and then to reduce the carrying value of the receivables.

We re-evaluate the fair value of such receivables at the close of each measurement period. If the reevaluation were to yield a value materially different from the carrying value, an adjustment would be required. In the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2019, the net present value of the forecasted cash flows for the receivables acquired in the first and second quarter of 2018 exceeded the carrying value of that pool by \$2.1 million, which we have recorded as a mark to market value of that pool of receivables.

Anticipated credit losses are included in our estimation of cash to be received with respect to receivables. Because such credit losses are included in our computation of the appropriate level yield, we do not thereafter make periodic provision for credit losses, as our best estimate of the lifetime aggregate of credit losses is included in that initial computation. Also because we include anticipated credit losses in our computation of the level yield, the computed level yield is materially lower than the average contractual rate applicable to the receivables. Because our initial carrying value is fixed as the price we pay for the receivable, rather than as the contractual principal balance, we do not record acquisition fees as an amortizing asset related to the receivables, nor do we capitalize costs of acquiring the receivables. Rather we recognize the costs of acquisition as expenses in the period incurred.

Amortization of Deferred Originations Costs and Acquisition Fees

Upon purchase of a contract from a dealer, we generally either charge or advance the dealer an acquisition fee. In addition, we incur certain direct costs associated with acquisitions of our contracts. All such acquisition fees and direct costs are applied to the carrying value of finance receivables and are accreted into earnings as an adjustment to the yield over the estimated life of the contract using the interest method. Receivables acquired after 2017 are accounted for using fair value. In accordance with the fair value method of accounting for finance receivables, any dealer acquisition fees will be incorporated into acquisition price of the receivables and no direct costs will be deferred.

Term Securitizations

Our term securitization structure has generally been as follows:

We sell automobile contracts we acquire to a wholly-owned special purpose subsidiary, which has been established for the limited purpose of buying and reselling our automobile contracts. The special-purpose subsidiary then transfers the same automobile contracts to another entity, typically a statutory trust. The trust issues interest-bearing asset-backed securities, in a principal amount equal to or less than the aggregate principal balance of the automobile contracts. We typically sell these automobile contracts to the trust at face value and without recourse, except that representations and warranties similar to those provided by the dealer to us are provided by us to the trust. One or more investors purchase the asset-backed securities issued by the trust; the proceeds from the sale of the asset-backed securities are then used to purchase the automobile contracts from us. We may retain or sell subordinated asset-backed securities issued by the trust or by a related entity.

We structure our securitizations to include internal credit enhancement for the benefit the investors (i) in the form of an initial cash deposit to an account ("spread account") held by the trust, (ii) in the form of overcollateralization of the senior asset-backed securities, where the principal balance of the senior asset-backed securities issued is less than the principal balance of the automobile contracts, (iii) in the form of subordinated asset-backed securities, or (iv) some combination of such internal credit enhancements. The agreements governing the securitization transactions require that the initial level of internal credit enhancement be supplemented by a portion of collections from the automobile contracts until the level of internal credit enhancement reaches specified levels, which are then maintained. The specified levels are generally computed as a percentage of the principal amount remaining unpaid under the related automobile contracts. The specified levels at which the internal credit enhancement is to be maintained will vary depending on the performance of the portfolios of automobile contracts held by the trusts and on other conditions, and may also be varied by agreement among us, our special purpose subsidiary, the insurance company, if any, and the trustee. Such levels have increased and decreased from time to time based on performance of the various portfolios, and have also varied from one transaction to another. The agreements governing the securitizations generally grant us the option to repurchase the sold automobile contracts from the trust when the aggregate outstanding balance of the automobile contracts has amortized to a specified percentage of the initial aggregate balance.

Upon each transfer of automobile contracts in a transaction structured as a secured financing for financial accounting purposes, we retain on our consolidated balance sheet the related automobile contracts as assets and record the asset-backed notes or loans issued in the transaction as indebtedness.

We receive periodic base servicing fees for the servicing and collection of the automobile contracts. Under our securitization structures treated as secured financings for financial accounting purposes, such servicing fees are included in interest income from the automobile contracts. In addition, we are entitled to the cash flows from the trusts that represent collections on the automobile contracts in excess of the amounts required to pay principal and interest on the asset-backed securities, base servicing fees, and certain other fees and expenses (such as trustee and custodial fees). Required principal payments on the asset-backed notes are generally defined as the payments sufficient to keep the principal balance of such notes equal to the aggregate principal balance of the related automobile contracts (excluding those automobile contracts that have been charged off), or a pre-determined percentage of such balance. Where that percentage is less than 100%, the related securitization agreements require accelerated payment of principal until the principal balance of the asset-backed securities is reduced to the specified percentage. Such accelerated principal payment is said to create overcollateralization of the asset-backed notes.

If the amount of cash required for payment of fees, expenses, interest and principal on the senior asset-backed notes exceeds the amount collected during the collection period, the shortfall is withdrawn from the spread account, if any. If the cash collected during the period exceeds the amount necessary for the above allocations plus required principal payments on the subordinated asset-backed notes, and there is no shortfall in the related spread account or the required overcollateralization level, the excess is released to us. If the spread account and overcollateralization is not at the required level, then the excess cash collected is retained in the trust until the specified level is achieved. Although spread account balances are held by the trusts on behalf of our special-purpose subsidiaries as the owner of the residual interests (in the case of securitization transactions structured as sales for financial accounting purposes) or the trusts (in the case of securitization transactions structured as secured financings for financial

accounting purposes), we are restricted in use of the cash in the spread accounts. Cash held in the various spread accounts is invested in high quality, liquid investment securities, as specified in the securitization agreements. The interest rate payable on the automobile contracts is significantly greater than the interest rate on the asset-backed notes. As a result, the residual interests described above historically have been a significant asset of ours.

In all of our term securitizations and warehouse credit facilities, whether treated as secured financings or as sales, we have sold the automobile contracts (through a subsidiary) to the securitization entity. The difference between the two structures is that in securitizations that are treated as secured financings we report the assets and liabilities of the securitization trust on our consolidated balance sheet. Under both structures, recourse to us by holders of the asset-backed securities and by the trust, for failure of the automobile contract obligors to make payments on a timely basis, is limited to the automobile contracts included in the securitizations or warehouse credit facilities, the spread accounts and our retained interests in the respective trusts.

Accrual for Contingent Liabilities

We are routinely involved in various legal proceedings resulting from our consumer finance activities and practices, both continuing and discontinued. Our legal counsel has advised us on such matters where, based on information available at the time of this report, there is an indication that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably determined.

We have recorded a liability as of December 31, 2019, which represents our best estimate of probable incurred losses for legal contingencies at that date. The amount of losses that may ultimately be incurred cannot be estimated with certainty. However, based on such information as is available to us, we believe that the range of reasonably possible losses for the legal proceedings and contingencies described or referenced above, as of December 31, 2019, and in excess of the liability we have recorded, does not exceed \$3 million.

Accordingly, we believe that the ultimate resolution of such legal proceedings and contingencies, after taking into account our current litigation reserves, should not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition. We note, however, that in light of the uncertainties inherent in contested proceedings, there can be no assurance that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not significantly exceed the reserves we have accrued; as a result, the outcome of a particular matter may be material to our operating results for a particular period, depending on, among other factors, the size of the loss or liability imposed and the level of our income for that period.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Deferred tax assets are recognized subject to management's judgment that realization is more likely than not. A valuation allowance is recognized for a deferred tax asset if, based on the weight of the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. In making such judgements, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified.

In determining the possible future realization of deferred tax assets, we have considered future taxable income from the following sources: (a) reversal of taxable temporary differences; and (b) forecasted future net earnings from operations. Based upon those considerations, we have concluded that it is more likely than not that the U.S. and state net operating loss carryforward periods provide enough time to utilize the deferred tax assets pertaining to the existing net operating loss carryforwards and any net operating loss that would be created by the reversal of the future net deductions which have not yet been taken on a tax return. Our estimates of taxable income are forward-looking statements, and there can be no assurance that our estimates of such taxable income will be correct. Factors discussed under "Risk Factors," and in particular under the subheading "Risk Factors -- Forward-Looking Statements" may affect whether such projections prove to be correct.

We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the income tax expense line in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Accrued interest and penalties are included within the related tax liability line in the consolidated balance sheets.

Uncertainty of Capital Markets and General Economic Conditions

We depend upon the availability of warehouse credit facilities and access to long-term financing through the issuance of asset-backed securities collateralized by our automobile contracts. Since 1994, we have completed 84 term securitizations of approximately \$14.4 billion in contracts. From the fourth quarter of 2007 through the end of 2009, we observed unprecedented adverse changes in the market for securitized pools of automobile contracts. These changes included reduced liquidity, and reduced demand for asset-backed securities, particularly for securities carrying a financial guaranty and for securities backed by sub-prime automobile receivables. Moreover, during that period many of the firms that previously provided financial guarantees, which were an integral part of our securitizations, suspended offering such guarantees. These adverse changes caused us to conserve liquidity by significantly reducing our purchases of automobile contracts. However, since September 2009 we have established new funding facilities and gradually increased our contract purchases and the frequency and amount of our term securitizations.

Financial Covenants

Certain of our securitization transactions and our warehouse credit facilities contain various financial covenants requiring certain minimum financial ratios and results. Such covenants include maintaining minimum levels of liquidity and net worth and not exceeding maximum leverage levels. In addition, certain securitization and non-securitization related debt contain cross-default provisions that would allow certain creditors to declare a default if a default occurred under a different facility. As of December 31, 2019 we were in compliance with all such financial covenants.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Operating Results for the year ended December 31, 2019 with the year ended December 31, 2018

Revenues. During the year ended December 31, 2019, our revenues were \$345.8 million, a decrease of \$44.0 million, or 11.3%, from the prior year revenues of \$389.8 million. The primary reason for the decrease in revenues is a decrease in interest income. Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2019 decreased \$43.2 million, or 11.4%, to \$337.1 million from \$380.3 million in the prior year. The primary reason for the decrease in interest income is the lower interest yield on the receivables measured at fair value. The interest yield on receivables measured at fair value is reduced to take account of expected losses and is therefore less than the yield on other finance receivables. The table below shows the outstanding and average balances of our portfolio held by consolidated subsidiaries for the year months ended December 31, 2019 and 2018:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2019			2018		
	Average Balance	Interest	Interest Yield	Average Balance	Interest	Interest Yield
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Interest Earning Assets						
Finance receivables.....	\$ 1,192,484	\$ 214,037	17.9%	\$ 1,860,388	\$ 336,434	18.1%
Finance receivables measured at fair value.....	1,212,226	123,059	10.2%	447,167	43,863	9.8%
Total.....	<u>\$ 2,404,710</u>	<u>\$ 337,096</u>	<u>14.0%</u>	<u>\$ 2,307,555</u>	<u>\$ 380,297</u>	<u>16.5%</u>

Other income decreased by \$730,000, or 7.2%, to \$9.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2018 from \$10.2 million during the prior year. The decrease in other income resulted from a decrease of \$1.2 million in revenues associated with direct mail and other related products and services that we offer to our dealers. This decrease was partially offset by an increase of \$740,000 in payments from third-party providers of convenience fees paid by our customers for web based and other electronic payments.

Expenses. Our operating expenses consist largely of interest expense, provision for credit losses, employee costs, sales and general and administrative expenses. Provision for credit losses is affected by the balance and credit performance of our portfolio of finance receivables (other than our portfolio of finance receivables measured at fair value, as to which expected credit losses have the effect of reducing the interest rate applicable to such receivables). Interest expense is significantly affected by the volume of automobile contracts we purchased during the trailing 12-month period and the use of our warehouse facilities and asset-backed securitizations to finance those contracts. Employee costs and general and administrative expenses are incurred as applications and automobile contracts are received, processed and serviced. Factors that affect margins and net income include changes in the automobile and automobile finance market environments, and macroeconomic factors such as interest rates and changes in the unemployment level.

Employee costs include base salaries, commissions and bonuses paid to employees, and certain expenses related to the accounting treatment of outstanding stock options, and are one of our most significant operating expenses. These costs (other than those relating to stock options) generally fluctuate with the level of applications and automobile contracts processed and serviced.

Other operating expenses consist largely of facilities expenses, telephone and other communication services, credit services, computer services, sales and advertising expenses, and depreciation and amortization.

Total operating expenses were \$336.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, compared to \$371.1 million for the prior year, a decrease of \$34.4 million, or 9.3%. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in provision for credit losses, offsetting increases in interest expense, employee costs, and general and administrative expenses.

Employee costs increased by \$1.6 million or 2.0%, to \$80.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2019, representing 24.0% of total operating expenses, from \$79.3 million for the prior year, or 24.5% of total operating expenses. The table below summarizes our employees by category as well as contract purchases and units in our managed portfolio as of, and for the years ended, December 31, 2019 and 2018:

	<u>December 31, 2019</u>		<u>December 31, 2018</u>
	<u>Amount</u>		<u>Amount</u>
	(\$ in millions)		
Contracts purchased (dollars).....	\$ 1,002.8	\$	902.4
Contracts purchased (units).....	55,919		52,731
Managed portfolio outstanding (dollars)	\$ 2,416.0	\$	2,380.8
Managed portfolio outstanding (units)	181,498		176,042
Number of Originations staff	202		215
Number of Marketing staff	122		132
Number of Servicing staff	612		610
Number of other staff	74		75
Total number of employees	<u>1,010</u>		<u>1,032</u>

General and administrative expenses include costs associated with purchasing and servicing our portfolio of finance receivables, including expenses for facilities, credit services, and telecommunications. General and administrative expenses were \$33.0 million, an increase of \$2.0 million, or 6.3%, compared to the previous year and represented 9.8% of total operating expenses.

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2019 increased by \$9.1 million to \$110.5 million, or 8.9%, compared to \$101.5 million in the previous year. Interest expense represented 32.8% of total operating expenses in 2019.

Interest on securitization trust debt increased by \$6.9 million, or 7.7%, for the year ended December 31, 2019 compared to the prior year. The average balance of securitization trust debt increased 1.9% to \$2,181.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2019 compared to \$2,140.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. The cost of securitization debt during the year ended December 31, 2019 also increased to 4.4% from 4.2% in the prior year period. For any particular quarterly securitization transaction, the blended cost of funds is ultimately the result of many factors including the market interest rates for benchmark swaps of various maturities against which our bonds are priced and the margin over those benchmarks that investors are willing accept, which in turn, is influenced by investor demand for our bonds at the time of the securitization. The cost of funds had moved up in 2018 before trending downward in 2019. The blended interest rates of our recent securitizations are summarized in the table below:

**Blended Cost of Funds on Recent Asset-Backed
Term Securitizations**

Period	Blended Cost of Funds
January 2017	3.91%
April 2017	3.45%
July 2017	3.52%
October 2017	3.39%
January 2018	3.46%
April 2018	3.98%
July 2018	4.18%
October 2018	4.25%
January 2019	4.22%
April 2019	3.95%
July 2019	3.36%
October 2019	2.95%

The annualized average rate on our securitization trust debt was 4.4% for the year ended December 31, 2019 compared to 4.2% in the prior year. The annualized average rate is influenced by the manner in which the underlying securitization trust bonds are repaid. The rate tends to increase over time on any particular securitization since the structures of our securitization trusts generally provide for sequential repayment of the shorter term, lower interest rate bonds before the longer term, higher interest rate bonds.

Interest expense on our subordinated renewable notes decreased by \$11,000, or 0.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2019 compared to the prior year. The average balance of the notes decreased from \$16.5 million in the prior year to \$15.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. However, the average interest rate on our subordinated notes increased to 9.6% for the year ended December 31, 2019 from 8.7% for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Interest expense on residual interest financing was \$3.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2019 compared to \$2.3 million in the prior year. This transaction closed in May 2018 and was not outstanding for the full year in 2018.

Interest expense on warehouse lines of credit increased by \$650,000, or 8.4% for the year ended December 31, 2019 compared to the prior year. The increase in interest expense was due to the higher utilization of our warehouse lines in 2019 compared to 2018. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in the average interest rate on our warehouse credit line debt from 11.6% in 2018 to 9.7% in 2019.

The following table presents the components of interest income and interest expense and a net interest yield analysis for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018:

	2019			2018		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
	Average Balance (1)	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance (1)	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/Rate
Interest Earning Assets						
Finance receivables gross (2).....	\$ 1,157,910	\$ 214,037	18.5%	\$ 1,860,388	\$ 336,434	18.1%
Finance receivables at fair value.....	<u>1,212,226</u>	<u>123,059</u>	10.2%	<u>447,167</u>	<u>43,863</u>	9.8%
	2,370,136	337,096	14.2%	2,307,555	380,297	16.5%
Interest Bearing Liabilities						
Warehouse lines of credit.....	\$ 86,200	8,402	9.7%	\$ 66,984	7,752	11.6%
Residual interest financing.....	40,000	3,822	9.6%	25,000	2,343	9.4%
Securitization trust debt.....	2,181,545	96,870	4.4%	2,140,093	89,926	4.2%
Subordinated renewable notes.....	<u>14,982</u>	<u>1,434</u>	9.6%	<u>16,533</u>	<u>1,445</u>	8.7%
	<u>\$ 2,322,727</u>	<u>110,528</u>	4.8%	<u>\$ 2,248,610</u>	<u>101,466</u>	4.5%
Net interest income/spread.....		<u>\$ 226,568</u>			<u>\$ 278,831</u>	
Net interest margin (3).....			9.6%			12.1%
Ratio of average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities	102%			103%		

(1) Average balances are based on month end balances except for warehouse lines of credit, which are based on daily balances.

(2) Net of deferred fees and direct costs.

(3) Net interest income divided by average interest earning assets.

**Year Ended December 31, 2019
Compared to December 31, 2018**

	Total Change	Change Due to Volume	Change Due to Rate
	(In thousands)		
Interest Earning Assets			
Finance receivables gross.....	\$ (122,397)	\$ (127,037)	\$ 4,640
Finance receivables at fair value.....	<u>79,196</u>	<u>75,045</u>	<u>4,151</u>
	(43,201)	(51,992)	8,791
Interest Bearing Liabilities			
Warehouse lines of credit.....	650	2,224	(1,574)
Residual interest financing.....	1,479	1,406	73
Securitization trust debt.....	6,944	1,742	5,202
Subordinated renewable notes.....	<u>(11)</u>	<u>(136)</u>	<u>125</u>
	9,062	5,236	3,826
Net interest income/spread.....	<u>\$ (52,263)</u>	<u>\$ (57,228)</u>	<u>\$ 4,965</u>

The reduction in the annualized yield on our finance receivables for the year ended December 31, 2019 compared to the prior year period is the result of the lower interest yield on the receivables measured at fair value. The interest yield on receivables measured at fair value is reduced to take account of expected losses and is therefore less than the yield on other finance receivables. The average balance of these receivables was \$1,212.2 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 compared to \$447.2 million in the prior year period.

Provision for credit losses was \$85.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, a decrease of \$47.3 million, or 35.5% compared to the prior year and represented 25.5% of total operating expenses. The provision for credit losses maintains the allowance for finance credit losses at levels that we feel are adequate for probable incurred credit losses that can be reasonably estimated. Our approach for establishing the allowance requires greater amounts of provision for credit losses early in the terms of our finance receivables. In addition, we monitor the delinquency and net charge off rates in our portfolio to consider how such rates may affect the allowance for finance credit losses. The allowance applies only to our finance receivables originated through

December 2017, which we refer to as our legacy portfolio. Since no receivables have been added to the legacy portfolio since December 2017, it has seasoned to the point where its weighted age is 42 months at December 31, 2019. We have also observed that receivables originated in 2017 have incurred credit losses at a significantly lower rate than receivables we originated in 2015 and 2016. The age of the legacy portfolio, its continuously declining balance and the significant variance of the relative credit performance of the vintage pools that make up the legacy portfolio have contributed to lower provisions for credit losses and lower levels of the allowance for finance credit losses. Finance receivables that we have originated since January 2018 are accounted for at fair value. Under the fair value method of accounting, we recognize interest income under the interest method on a level yield basis based on forecasted future cash flows net of expected credit losses. Thus, no provision for credit loss expense is recorded for finance receivables measured at fair value.

Sales expenses consist primarily of commission-based compensation paid to our employee sales representatives. Our sales representatives earn a salary plus commissions based on volume of contract purchases and sales of ancillary products and services that we offer our dealers, such as training programs, internet lead sales, and direct mail products. Sales expenses increased by \$321,000, or 1.8%, to \$17.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2019, compared to \$17.6 million in the prior year, and represented 5.3% of total operating expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2019, we purchased 55,919 contracts representing \$1,002.8 million in receivables compared to 52,731 contracts representing \$902.4 million in receivables in the prior year.

Occupancy expenses decreased by \$120,000 or 1.6%, to \$7.5 million compared to \$7.6 million in the previous year and represented 2.2% of total operating expenses.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased by \$84,000 or 8.5%, to \$1,076,000 compared to \$992,000 in the previous year and represented 0.2% of total operating expenses.

For the year ended December 31, 2019, we recorded income tax expense of \$3.8 million, representing an effective income tax rate of 41.0%. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we recorded income tax expense of \$3.8 million, representing an effective income tax rate of 20.5%. This includes \$2.1 million of income tax benefit related to certain tax planning strategies and other adjustments. Excluding the impact of the tax benefit, the effective tax rate for 2018 would have been 31.8%.

Comparison of Operating Results for the year ended December 31, 2018 with the year ended December 31, 2017

Revenues. During the year ended December 31, 2018, our revenues were \$389.8 million, a decrease of \$44.6 million, or 10.3%, from the prior year revenues of \$434.4 million. The primary reason for the decrease in revenues is a decrease in interest income. Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2018 decreased \$43.9 million, or 10.3%, to \$380.3 million from \$424.2 million in the prior year. The primary reason for the decrease in interest income is the lower interest yield on the receivables measured at fair value. The interest yield on receivables measured at fair value is reduced to take account of expected losses and is therefore less than the yield on other finance receivables. The table below shows the outstanding and average balances of our portfolio held by consolidated subsidiaries for the year months ended December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2018			2017		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield	Average Balance	Interest	Yield
(Dollars in thousands)						
<u>Interest Earning Assets</u>						
Finance receivables.....	\$ 1,860,388	\$ 336,434	18.1%	\$ 2,299,218	\$ 424,174	18.4%
Finance receivables measured at fair value.....	447,167	43,863	9.8%	-	-	-
Total.....	<u>\$ 2,307,555</u>	<u>\$ 380,297</u>	<u>16.5%</u>	<u>\$ 2,299,218</u>	<u>\$ 424,174</u>	<u>18.4%</u>

Other income decreased by \$774,000, or 8.2%, to \$8.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2018 from \$9.5 million during the prior year. The decrease in other income resulted from a decrease of \$600,000 in revenues associated with direct mail and other related products and services that we offer to our dealers, and a decrease of \$200,000 in payments from third-party providers of convenience fees paid by our customers for web based and other electronic payments.

Expenses. Our operating expenses consist largely of provision for credit losses, interest expense, employee costs and general and administrative expenses. Provision for credit losses and interest expense are significantly affected by the volume of automobile contracts we purchased during the trailing 12-month period and by the outstanding balance of finance receivables held by consolidated subsidiaries (other than our portfolio of finance receivables measured at fair value, as to which expected credit losses have the effect of reducing the interest rate applicable to such receivables). Employee costs and general and

administrative expenses are incurred as applications and automobile contracts are received, processed and serviced. Factors that affect margins and net income include changes in the automobile and automobile finance market environments, and macroeconomic factors such as interest rates and changes in the unemployment level.

Employee costs include base salaries, commissions and bonuses paid to employees, and certain expenses related to the accounting treatment of outstanding stock options, and are one of our most significant operating expenses. These costs (other than those relating to stock options) generally fluctuate with the level of applications and automobile contracts processed and serviced.

Other operating expenses consist largely of facilities expenses, telephone and other communication services, credit services, computer services, sales and advertising expenses, and depreciation and amortization.

Total operating expenses were \$371.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to \$402.3 million for the prior year, a decrease of \$31.2 million, or 7.8%. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in provision for credit losses, offsetting increases in employee costs, interest expense, sales and general and administrative expenses.

Employee costs increased by \$6.4 million or 8.7%, to \$79.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2018, representing 21.4% of total operating expenses, from \$73.0 million for the prior year, or 18.1% of total operating expenses. Employee costs for the prior year period were net of \$5.4 million of direct employee costs associated with the originations of contracts during that period. Such deferred costs are then recognized over the life of the related receivables using the interest method. The table below summarizes our employees by category as well as contract purchases and units in our managed portfolio as of, and for the years ended, December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	<u>December 31, 2018</u>		<u>December 31, 2017</u>
	<u>Amount</u>		<u>Amount</u>
	(\$ in millions)		
Contracts purchased (dollars).....	\$ 902.4	\$	859.1
Contracts purchased (units).....	52,731		52,643
Managed portfolio outstanding (dollars)	\$ 2,380.8	\$	2,333.5
Managed portfolio outstanding (units)	176,042		177,760
Number of Originations staff	215		212
Number of Marketing staff	132		120
Number of Servicing staff	610		597
Number of other staff	75		70
Total number of employees	<u>1,032</u>		<u>999</u>

General and administrative expenses include costs associated with purchasing and servicing our portfolio of finance receivables, including expenses for facilities, credit services, and telecommunications. General and administrative expenses were \$31.0 million, an increase of \$4.5 million, or 16.8%, compared to the previous year and represented 8.4% of total operating expenses.

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2018 increased by \$9.1 million to \$101.5 million, or 9.9%, compared to \$92.3 million in the previous year.

Interest on securitization trust debt increased by \$6.8 million, or 8.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the prior year. The average balance of securitization trust debt decreased 1.5% to \$2,140.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$2,172.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. In addition, the blended interest rates on our term securitizations have generally increased since June 2014. As a result, the cost of securitization debt during the year ended December 31, 2018 was 4.2%, compared to 3.8% in the prior year period. For any particular quarterly securitization transaction, the blended cost of funds is ultimately the result of many factors including the market interest rates for benchmark swaps of various maturities against which our bonds are priced and the margin over those benchmarks that investors are willing accept, which in turn, is influenced by investor demand for our bonds at the time of the securitization. These and other factors have resulted in a general trend toward higher securitization trust debt interest costs in 2018 compared to 2017. The blended interest rates of our recent securitizations are summarized in the table below:

**Blended Cost of Funds on Recent Asset-Backed
Term Securitizations**

Period	Blended Cost of Funds
January 2017	3.91%
April 2017	3.45%
July 2017	3.52%
October 2017	3.39%
January 2018	3.46%
April 2018	3.98%
July 2018	4.18%
October 2018	4.22%

The annualized average rate on our securitization trust debt was 4.2% for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to 3.8% in the prior year. The annualized average rate is influenced by the manner in which the underlying securitization trust bonds are repaid. The rate tends to increase over time on any particular securitization since the structures of our securitization trusts generally provide for sequential repayment of the shorter term, lower interest rate bonds before the longer term, higher interest rate bonds.

Interest expense on our subordinated debt increased by \$117,000, or 8.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the prior year. The increase was the result of an increase in the average interest rate on our subordinated renewable notes to 8.7% for the year ended December 31, 2018 from 8.3% for the year ended December 31, 2017. This increase was also the result of an increase in the average balance of the notes from \$16.0 million in the prior year to \$16.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Interest expense on residual interest financing was \$2.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2018. There was no residual interest financing debt outstanding during 2017.

Interest expense on warehouse lines of credit decreased by \$181,000, or 2.3% for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the prior year. The decrease is due primarily to a decrease in the average interest rate on our warehouse credit line debt from 12.7% in 2017 to 11.6% in 2018. However, most of the decrease in interest expense was offset by higher utilization of our warehouse lines in 2018 compared to 2017.

The following table presents the components of interest income and interest expense and a net interest yield analysis for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2018			2017		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
	Average Balance (1)	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance (1)	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/Rate
Interest Earning Assets						
Finance receivables gross (2).....	\$ 1,860,388	\$ 336,434	18.1%	\$ 2,299,218	\$ 424,174	18.4%
Finance receivables at fair value.....	447,167	43,863	9.8%	-	-	-
	<u>2,307,555</u>	<u>380,297</u>	16.5%	<u>2,299,218</u>	<u>424,174</u>	
Interest Bearing Liabilities						
Warehouse lines of credit.....	\$ 66,984	7,752	11.6%	\$ 62,353	7,933	12.7%
Residual interest financing.....	25,000	2,343	9.4%	-	-	-
Securitization trust debt.....	2,140,093	89,926	4.2%	2,172,145	83,084	3.8%
Subordinated renewable notes.....	16,533	1,445	8.7%	16,028	1,328	8.3%
	<u>\$ 2,248,610</u>	<u>101,466</u>	4.5%	<u>\$ 2,250,526</u>	<u>92,345</u>	4.1%
Net interest income/spread.....		<u>\$ 278,831</u>			<u>\$ 331,829</u>	
Net interest margin (3).....			12.1%			14.4%
Ratio of average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities	103%			102%		

- (1) Average balances are based on month end balances except for warehouse lines of credit, which are based on daily balances.
(2) Net of deferred fees and direct costs.
(3) Net interest income divided by average interest earning assets.

	Year Ended December 31, 2018 Compared to December 31, 2017		
	Total Change	Change Due to Volume	Change Due to Rate
	(In thousands)		
Interest Earning Assets			
Finance receivables gross.....	\$ (87,740)	\$ (80,958)	\$ (6,782)
Finance receivables at fair value.....	43,863	43,863	-
	<u>(43,877)</u>	<u>(37,095)</u>	<u>(6,782)</u>
Interest Bearing Liabilities			
Warehouse lines of credit.....	(181)	589	(770)
Residual interest financing.....	2,343	2,343	-
Securitization trust debt.....	6,842	(1,226)	8,068
Subordinated renewable notes.....	117	42	75
	<u>9,121</u>	<u>1,748</u>	<u>7,373</u>
Net interest income/spread.....	<u>\$ (52,998)</u>	<u>\$ (38,843)</u>	<u>\$ (14,155)</u>

Provision for credit losses was \$133.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, a decrease of \$53.6 million, or 28.7% compared to the prior year and represented 35.9% of total operating expenses. The provision for credit losses maintains the allowance for finance credit losses at levels that we feel are adequate for probable incurred credit losses that can be reasonably estimated. Finance receivables that we have originated since January 2018 are accounted for at fair value. Under the fair value method of accounting, we recognize interest income under the interest method on a level yield basis based on forecasted future cash flows net of expected credit losses. Thus, no provision for credit loss expense is recorded for finance receivables measured at fair value. This is the primary reason for the reduction in provision for credit losses in 2018.

Sales expenses consist primarily of commission-based compensation paid to our employee sales representatives. Our sales representatives earn a salary plus commissions based on volume of contract purchases and sales of ancillary products and services that we offer our dealers, such as training programs, internet lead sales, and direct mail products. Sales expenses increased by

\$2.0 million, or 12.5%, to \$17.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to \$15.6 million in the prior year, and represented 4.7% of total operating expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we purchased 52,731 contracts representing \$902.4 million in receivables compared to 52,643 contracts representing \$859.1 million in receivables in the prior year.

Occupancy expenses increased by \$445,000 or 6.2%, to \$7.6 million compared to \$7.2 million in the previous year and represented 2.1% of total operating expenses.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased by \$58,000 or 6.2%, to \$992,000 compared to \$934,000 in the previous year and represented 0.3% of total operating expenses.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, we recorded income tax expense of \$3.8 million, representing an effective income tax rate of 20.5%. This includes \$2.1 million of income tax benefit related to certain tax planning strategies and other adjustments. Excluding the impact of the tax benefit, the effective tax rate for 2018 would have been 31.8%. For the year ended December 31, 2017, we recorded income tax expense of \$28.3 million, representing an effective income tax rate of 88.3%. This includes \$15.1 million of income tax expense related to the effects of the Tax Act, which are required to be recorded in the period of enactment. Excluding the impact of the Tax Act, the effective tax rate for 2017 would have been 41.1%.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Our business requires substantial cash to support our purchases of automobile contracts and other operating activities. Our primary sources of cash have been cash flows from the proceeds from term securitization transactions and other sales of automobile contracts, amounts borrowed under various revolving credit facilities (also sometimes known as warehouse credit facilities), customer payments of principal and interest on finance receivables, fees for origination of automobile contracts, and releases of cash from securitization transactions and their related spread accounts. Our primary uses of cash have been the purchases of automobile contracts, repayment of amounts borrowed under lines of credit, securitization transactions and otherwise, operating expenses such as employee, interest, occupancy expenses and other general and administrative expenses, the establishment of spread accounts and initial overcollateralization, if any, the increase of credit enhancement to required levels in securitization transactions, and income taxes. There can be no assurance that internally generated cash will be sufficient to meet our cash demands. The sufficiency of internally generated cash will depend on the performance of securitized pools (which determines the level of releases from those pools and their related spread accounts), the rate of expansion or contraction in our managed portfolio, and the terms upon which we are able to acquire and borrow against automobile contracts.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 was \$216.8 million, \$216.2 million and \$215.6 million, respectively. Net cash from operating activities is generally provided by net income from operations adjusted for significant non-cash items such as our provision for credit losses and interest accretion on fair value receivables.

Net cash used in investing activities for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 was \$229.4 million, \$242.2 million and \$210.6 million, respectively. Cash provided by investing activities primarily results from principal payments and other proceeds received on finance receivables. Cash used in investing activities generally relates to purchases of automobile contracts. Purchases of finance receivables were \$1,004.2 million (includes acquisition fees paid), \$914.9 million and \$859.1 million in 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 was \$23.3 million and \$31.4 million, respectively. Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$7.0 million. Cash used or provided by financing activities is primarily related to the issuance of securitization trust debt, reduced by the amount of repayment of securitization trust debt and net proceeds or repayments on our warehouse lines of credit and other debt. We issued \$1,000.5 million in new securitization trust debt in 2019 compared to \$855.8 million in 2018 and \$852.6 million in 2017. Repayments of securitization debt were \$966.1 million, \$876.1 million and \$851.2 million in 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

We purchase automobile contracts from dealers for a cash price approximately equal to their principal amount, adjusted for an acquisition fee which may either increase or decrease the automobile contract purchase price. Those automobile contracts generate cash flow, however, over a period of years. We have been dependent on warehouse credit facilities to purchase automobile contracts and our securitization transactions for long term financing of our contracts. In addition, we have accessed other sources, such as residual financings and subordinated debt in order to finance our continuing operations.

The acquisition of automobile contracts for subsequent financing in securitization transactions, and the need to fund spread accounts and initial overcollateralization, if any, and increase credit enhancement levels when those transactions take place, results in a continuing need for capital. The amount of capital required is most heavily dependent on the rate of our automobile

contract purchases, the required level of initial credit enhancement in securitizations, and the extent to which the previously established trusts and their related spread accounts either release cash to us or capture cash from collections on securitized automobile contracts. Of those, the factor most subject to our control is the rate at which we purchase automobile contracts.

We are and may in the future be limited in our ability to purchase automobile contracts due to limits on our capital. As of December 31, 2019, we had unrestricted cash of \$5.3 million and \$163.2 million aggregate available borrowings under our three warehouse credit facilities (assuming the availability of sufficient eligible collateral). As of December 30, 2019, we had approximately \$12.9 million of such eligible collateral. During 2019 we completed four securitizations aggregating \$1,000.5 million of notes sold. Our plans to manage our liquidity include maintaining our rate of automobile contract purchases at a level that matches our available capital, and, as appropriate, minimizing our operating costs. If we are unable to complete such securitizations, we may be unable to increase our rate of automobile contract purchases, in which case our interest income and other portfolio related income could decrease.

Our liquidity will also be affected by releases of cash from the trusts established with our securitizations. While the specific terms and mechanics of each spread account vary among transactions, our securitization agreements generally provide that we will receive excess cash flows, if any, only if the amount of credit enhancement has reached specified levels and the delinquency or net losses related to the automobile contracts in the pool are below certain predetermined levels. In the event delinquencies or net losses on the automobile contracts exceed such levels, the terms of the securitization may require increased credit enhancement to be accumulated for the particular pool. There can be no assurance that collections from the related trusts will continue to generate sufficient cash.

Our warehouse credit facilities contain various financial covenants requiring certain minimum financial ratios and results. Such covenants include maintaining minimum levels of liquidity and net worth and not exceeding maximum leverage levels. In addition, certain of our debt agreements other than our term securitizations contain cross-default provisions. Such cross-default provisions would allow the respective creditors to declare a default if an event of default occurred with respect to other indebtedness of ours, but only if such other event of default were to be accompanied by acceleration of such other indebtedness. As of December 31, 2019, we were in compliance with all such financial covenants.

We have and will continue to have a substantial amount of indebtedness. At December 31, 2019, we had approximately \$2,289.5 million of debt outstanding. Such debt consisted primarily of \$2,097.7 million of securitization trust debt and \$134.8 million of debt from warehouse lines of credit. Since 2005, we have offered renewable subordinated notes to the public on a continuous basis, and such notes have maturities that range from six months to 10 years. We had \$17.5 million in subordinated renewable notes outstanding at December 31, 2019. On May 16, 2018, we completed a \$40.0 million securitization of residual interests from previously issued securitizations. At December 31, 2019, \$40.0 million of this residual interest financing debt remains outstanding (\$39.5 million net of deferred financing costs).

Although we believe we are able to service and repay our debt, there is no assurance that we will be able to do so. If our plans for future operations do not generate sufficient cash flows and earnings, our ability to make required payments on our debt would be impaired. If we fail to pay our indebtedness when due, it could have a material adverse effect on us and may require us to issue additional debt or equity securities.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our material contractual obligations as of December 31, 2019 (dollars in thousands):

	Payment Due by Period (1)				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	2 to 3 Years	4 to 5 Years	More than 5 Years
Long Term Debt (2).....	\$ 17,534	\$ 6,006	\$ 6,907	\$ 2,946	\$ 1,675
Operating Leases.....	\$ 23,342	\$ 7,757	13,507	1,800	278

(1) Securitization trust debt, in the aggregate amount of \$2,097.7 million as of December 31, 2019, is omitted from this table because it becomes due as and when the related receivables balance is reduced by payments and charge-offs. Expected payments, which will depend on the performance of such receivables, as to which there can be no assurance, are \$806.7 million in 2020, \$583.8 million in 2021, \$358.1 million in 2022, \$261.8 million in 2023, \$57.5 million in 2024, and \$29.9 million in 2025.

(2) Long-term debt represents subordinated renewable notes.

We anticipate repaying debt due in 2019 with a combination of cash flows from operations and the potential issuance of new debt.

Warehouse Credit Facilities

The terms on which credit has been available to us for purchase of automobile contracts have varied in recent years, as shown in the following summary of our warehouse credit facilities:

Facility Established in May 2012. On May 11, 2012, we entered into a \$100 million one-year warehouse credit line with Citibank, N.A. The facility is structured to allow us to fund a portion of the purchase price of automobile contracts by borrowing from a credit facility to our consolidated subsidiary Page Eight Funding, LLC. The facility provides for effective advances up to 87.0% of eligible finance receivables. The loans under the facility accrue interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.00% per annum, with a minimum rate of 3.75% per annum. In September 2018, this facility was amended to extend the revolving period to September 2020 and to include an amortization period through September 2021 for any receivables pledged to the facility at the end of the revolving period. At December 31, 2019 there was \$96.2 million outstanding under this facility.

Facility Established in April 2015. On April 17, 2015, we entered into an additional \$100 million one-year warehouse credit line with Fortress Investment Group. The facility is structured to allow us to fund a portion of the purchase price of automobile contracts by borrowing from a credit facility to our consolidated subsidiary Page Six Funding, LLC. The facility provides for effective advances up to 88.0% of eligible finance receivables. The loans under the facility accrue interest at one-month LIBOR plus 5.50% per annum, with a minimum rate of 6.50% per annum. In February 2019, this facility was amended to extend the revolving period to February 2021 followed by an amortization period through February 2023. At December 31, 2019 there was \$40.6 million outstanding under this facility.

Facility Established in November 2015. On November 24, 2015, we entered into an additional \$100 million one-year warehouse credit line with affiliates of Credit Suisse Group and Ares Management LP. The facility is structured to allow us to fund a portion of the purchase price of automobile contracts by borrowing from a credit facility to our consolidated subsidiary Page Nine Funding, LLC. The facility provides for effective advances up to 88.0% of eligible finance receivables. The loans under the facility accrue interest at a commercial paper rate plus 4.00% per annum, with a minimum rate of 5.00% per annum. In December 2019, this facility was amended to extend the revolving period to December 2021 followed by an amortization period through December 2023 for any receivables pledged to the facility at the end of the revolving period. At December 31, 2019 there was no amount outstanding under this facility.

Capital Resources

Securitization trust debt is repaid from collections on the related receivables, and becomes due in accordance with its terms as the principal amount of the related receivables is reduced. Although the securitization trust debt also has alternative final maturity dates, those dates are significantly later than the dates at which repayment of the related receivables is anticipated, and at no time in our history have any of our sponsored asset-backed securities reached those alternative final maturities.

The acquisition of automobile contracts for subsequent transfer in securitization transactions, and the need to fund spread accounts and initial overcollateralization, if any, when those transactions take place, results in a continuing need for capital. The amount of capital required is most heavily dependent on the rate of our automobile contract purchases, the required level of initial credit enhancement in securitizations, and the extent to which the trusts and related spread accounts either release cash to us or capture cash from collections on securitized automobile contracts. We plan to adjust our levels of automobile contract purchases and the related capital requirements to match anticipated releases of cash from the trusts and related spread accounts.

Capitalization

Over the period from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019 we have managed our capitalization by issuing and refinancing debt as summarized in the following table:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(Dollars in thousands)		
RESIDUAL INTEREST FINANCING:			
Beginning balance.....	\$ 39,106	\$ -	\$ -
Issuances.....	-	40,000	-
Payments.....	-	-	-
Capitalization of deferred financing costs.....	-	(1,081)	-
Amortization of deferred financing costs.....	372	187	-
Ending balance.....	<u>\$ 39,478</u>	<u>\$ 39,106</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
SECURITIZATION TRUST DEBT:			
Beginning balance.....	\$ 2,063,627	\$ 2,083,215	\$ 2,080,900
Issuances.....	1,000,501	855,828	852,615
Payments.....	(966,144)	(876,094)	(851,193)
Amortization of discount.....	-	-	-
Reclassification of deferred financing costs.....	-	-	-
Capitalization of deferred financing costs.....	(6,808)	(6,198)	(6,104)
Amortization of deferred financing costs.....	6,552	6,876	6,997
Ending balance.....	<u>\$ 2,097,728</u>	<u>\$ 2,063,627</u>	<u>\$ 2,083,215</u>
SUBORDINATED RENEWABLE NOTES:			
Beginning balance.....	\$ 17,290	\$ 16,566	\$ 14,949
Issuances.....	5,764	3,175	4,083
Payments.....	(5,520)	(2,451)	(2,466)
Ending balance.....	<u>\$ 17,534</u>	<u>\$ 17,290</u>	<u>\$ 16,566</u>

Residual Interest Financing.

On May 16, 2018, we completed a \$40.0 million securitization of residual interests from previously issued securitizations. In this residual interest financing transaction, qualified institutional buyers purchased \$40.0 million of asset-backed notes secured by residual interests in thirteen CPS securitizations consecutively conducted from September 2013 through December 2016, and an 80% interest in a CPS affiliate that owns the residual interests in the four CPS securitizations conducted in 2017. The sold notes (“2018-1 Notes”), issued by CPS Auto Securitization Trust 2018-1, consist of a single class with a coupon of 8.595%. As of December 31, 2019, \$40.0 million of residual interest financing debt remains outstanding. This amount does not exclude \$522,000 in unamortized debt issuance costs. These debt issuance costs are presented as a direct deduction to the carrying amount of the debt on our consolidated balance sheets.

The agreed valuation of the collateral for the 2018-1 Notes is the sum of the amounts on deposit in the underlying spread accounts for each related securitization and the over-collateralization of each related securitization, which is the difference between the outstanding principal balances of the related receivables less the principal balance of the outstanding notes issued in the related securitization. With respect to the securitizations conducted by CPS in 2017, only 80% of such amounts are included in the collateral. On each monthly payment date, the 2018-1 Notes are entitled to interest at the coupon rate and, if necessary, a principal payment necessary to maintain a specified minimum collateral ratio.

Securitization Trust Debt. Since 2011, we treated all 34 of our securitizations of automobile contracts as secured financings for financial accounting purposes, and the asset-backed securities issued in such securitizations remain on our consolidated balance sheet as securitization trust debt. We had \$2,097.7 million of securitization trust debt outstanding at December 31, 2019.

Subordinated Renewable Notes Debt. In June 2005, we began issuing registered subordinated renewable notes in an ongoing offering to the public. Upon maturity, the notes are automatically renewed for the same term as the maturing notes, unless we repay the notes or the investor notifies us within 15 days after the maturity date of his note that he wants it repaid. Renewed notes bear interest at the rate we are offering at that time to other investors with similar note maturities. Based on the terms of the individual notes, interest payments may be required monthly, quarterly, annually or upon maturity. At December 31, 2019 there were \$17.5 million of such notes outstanding.

We must comply with certain affirmative and negative covenants related to debt facilities, which require, among other things, that we maintain certain financial ratios related to liquidity, net worth, capitalization, investments, acquisitions, restricted payments and certain dividend restrictions. In addition, certain securitization and non-securitization related debt contain cross-default provisions that would allow certain creditors to declare default if a default occurred under a different facility. As of December 31, 2019, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Forward-looking Statements

This report on Form 10-K includes certain "forward-looking statements". Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of words such as "anticipates," "expects," "plans," "estimates," or words of like meaning. As to the specifically identified forward-looking statements, factors that could affect charge-offs and recovery rates include changes in the general economic climate, which could affect the willingness or ability of obligors to pay pursuant to the terms of contracts, changes in laws respecting consumer finance, which could affect our ability to enforce rights under contracts, and changes in the market for used vehicles, which could affect the levels of recoveries upon sale of repossessed vehicles. Factors that could affect our revenues in the current year include the levels of cash releases from existing pools of contracts, which would affect our ability to purchase contracts, the terms on which we are able to finance such purchases, the willingness of dealers to sell contracts to us on the terms that it offers, and the terms on which we are able to complete term securitizations once contracts are acquired. Factors that could affect our expenses in the current year include competitive conditions in the market for qualified personnel, investor demand for asset-backed securities and interest rates (which affect the rates that we pay on asset-backed securities issued in our securitizations). The statements concerning structuring securitization transactions as secured financings and the effects of such structures on financial items and on future profitability also are forward-looking statements. Any change to the structure of our securitization transaction could cause such forward-looking statements to be inaccurate. Both the amount of the effect of the change in structure on our profitability and the duration of the period in which our profitability would be affected by the change in securitization structure are estimates. The accuracy of such estimates will be affected by the rate at which we purchase and sell contracts, any changes in that rate, the credit performance of such contracts, the financial terms of future securitizations, any changes in such terms over time, and other factors that generally affect our profitability.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

We are subject to interest rate risk during the period between when contracts are purchased from dealers and when such contracts become part of a term securitization. Specifically, the interest rate due on our warehouse credit facilities are adjustable while the interest rates on the contracts are fixed. Therefore, if interest rates increase, the interest we must pay to our lenders under warehouse credit facilities is likely to increase while the interest we receive from warehoused automobile contracts remains the same. As a result, excess spread cash flow would likely decrease during the warehousing period. Additionally, automobile contracts warehoused and then securitized during a rising interest rate environment may result in less excess spread cash flow to us. Historically, our securitization facilities have paid fixed rate interest to security holders set at prevailing interest rates at the time of the closing of the securitization, which may not take place until several months after we purchased those contracts. Our customers, on the other hand, pay fixed rates of interest on the automobile contracts, set at the time they purchase the underlying vehicles. A decrease in excess spread cash flow could adversely affect our earnings and cash flow.

To mitigate, but not eliminate, the short-term risk relating to interest rates payable under the warehouse facilities, we have historically held automobile contracts in the warehouse credit facilities for less than four months. To mitigate, but not eliminate, the long-term risk relating to interest rates payable by us in securitizations, we have structured our term securitization transactions to include pre-funding structures, whereby the amount of notes issued exceeds the amount of contracts initially sold to the trusts. We expect to continue to use pre-funding structures in our securitizations. In pre-funding, the proceeds from the pre-funded portion are held in an escrow account until we sell the additional contracts to the trust. In pre-funded securitizations, we lock in the borrowing costs with respect to the contracts we subsequently deliver to the securitization trust. However, we incur an expense in pre-funded securitizations equal to the difference between the money market yields earned on the proceeds held in escrow prior to subsequent delivery of contracts and the interest rate paid on the notes outstanding. The amount of such expense may vary. Despite these mitigation strategies, an increase in prevailing interest rates would cause us to receive less excess spread cash flows on automobile contracts, and thus could adversely affect our earnings and cash flows.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

This report includes Consolidated Financial Statements, notes thereto and an Independent Auditors' Report, at the pages indicated below, in the "Index to Financial Statements."

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>Page Reference</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.....	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2019 and 2018	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.....	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.....	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.	F-8

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Las Vegas, Nevada

Opinions on the Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2019, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework: (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2019 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework: (2013) issued by COSO.

Basis for Opinions

The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB") and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud, and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audits of the financial statements included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ CROWE LLP
Los Angeles, California
March 16, 2020

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2008.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31, 2019	December 31, 2018
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,295	\$ 12,787
Restricted cash and equivalents	135,537	117,323
Finance receivables	897,530	1,522,085
Less: Allowance for finance credit losses	(11,640)	(67,376)
Finance receivables, net	885,890	1,454,709
Finance receivables measured at fair value	1,444,038	821,066
Furniture and equipment, net	1,512	1,837
Deferred tax assets, net	15,480	19,188
Accrued interest receivable	11,645	31,969
Other assets	39,852	26,801
	\$ 2,539,249	\$ 2,485,680
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 47,077	\$ 31,692
Warehouse lines of credit	134,791	136,847
Residual interest financing	39,478	39,106
Securitization trust debt	2,097,728	2,063,627
Subordinated renewable notes	17,534	17,290
	2,336,608	2,288,562
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
Shareholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value; authorized 4,998,130 shares; none issued	-	-
Series A preferred stock, \$1 par value; authorized 5,000,000 shares; none issued	-	-
Series B preferred stock, \$1 par value; authorized 1,870 shares; none issued	-	-
Common stock, no par value; authorized 75,000,000 shares; 22,530,918 and 22,421,688 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018, respectively	71,257	70,273
Retained earnings	139,805	134,399
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(8,421)	(7,554)
	202,641	197,118
	\$ 2,539,249	\$ 2,485,680

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Revenues:			
Interest income	\$ 337,096	\$ 380,297	\$ 424,174
Other income	8,704	9,478	10,209
	<u>345,800</u>	<u>389,775</u>	<u>434,383</u>
Expenses:			
Employee costs	80,877	79,318	72,967
General and administrative	33,004	31,037	26,578
Interest	110,528	101,466	92,345
Provision for credit losses	85,773	133,080	186,713
Sales	17,893	17,572	15,613
Occupancy	7,487	7,607	7,162
Depreciation and amortization	1,076	992	934
	<u>336,638</u>	<u>371,072</u>	<u>402,312</u>
Income before income tax expense	9,162	18,703	32,071
Income tax expense	3,756	3,841	28,306
Net income	<u>\$ 5,406</u>	<u>\$ 14,862</u>	<u>\$ 3,765</u>
Earnings per share:			
Basic	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.17
Diluted	0.22	0.59	0.14
Number of shares used in computing earnings per share:			
Basic	22,416	21,989	22,687
Diluted	24,064	24,988	27,214

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Net income	\$ 5,406	\$ 14,862	\$ 3,765
Other comprehensive income (loss); change in funded status of pension plan, net of \$508, \$173 and \$232 in tax for 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively	(867)	(372)	(500)
Comprehensive income	\$ 4,539	\$ 14,490	\$ 3,265

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Common Stock		Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Total
	Shares	Amount		Loss	
Balance at January 1, 2017	23,587	\$ 77,128	\$ 115,772	\$ (6,682)	\$ 186,218
Common stock issued upon exercise of options and warrants	647	1,085	-	-	1,085
Repurchase of common stock	(2,745)	(12,346)	-	-	(12,346)
Pension benefit obligation	-	-	-	(500)	(500)
Stock-based compensation	-	5,715	-	-	5,715
Net income	-	-	3,765	-	3,765
Balance at December 31, 2017	<u>21,489</u>	<u>\$ 71,582</u>	<u>\$ 119,537</u>	<u>\$ (7,182)</u>	<u>\$ 183,937</u>
Common stock issued upon exercise of options and warrants	2,315	483	-	-	483
Repurchase of common stock	(1,382)	(5,307)	-	-	(5,307)
Pension benefit obligation	-	-	-	(372)	(372)
Stock-based compensation	-	3,515	-	-	3,515
Net income	-	-	14,862	-	14,862
Balance at December 31, 2018	<u>22,422</u>	<u>\$ 70,273</u>	<u>\$ 134,399</u>	<u>\$ (7,554)</u>	<u>\$ 197,118</u>
Common stock issued upon exercise of options and warrants	488	352	-	-	352
Repurchase of common stock	(379)	(1,440)	-	-	(1,440)
Pension benefit obligation	-	-	-	(867)	(867)
Stock-based compensation	-	2,072	-	-	2,072
Net income	-	-	5,406	-	5,406
Balance at December 31, 2019	<u>22,531</u>	<u>\$ 71,257</u>	<u>\$ 139,805</u>	<u>\$ (8,421)</u>	<u>\$ 202,641</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
<i>Cash flows from operating activities:</i>			
Net income	\$ 5,406	\$ 14,862	\$ 3,765
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Accretion of deferred acquisition fees and origination costs	1,757	2,655	1,305
Net interest income accretion on fair value receivables	90,383	26,162	-
Depreciation and amortization	1,076	992	934
Amortization of deferred financing costs	8,281	8,453	8,738
Mark to fair value of finance receivables measured at fair value	(2,109)	-	-
Provision for credit losses	85,773	133,080	186,713
Stock-based compensation expense	2,072	3,515	5,715
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accrued interest receivable	20,324	14,784	(10,520)
Other assets	7,464	(4,161)	5,361
Deferred tax assets, net	3,708	13,258	10,399
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(7,351)	2,605	3,238
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>216,784</u>	<u>216,205</u>	<u>215,648</u>
<i>Cash flows from investing activities:</i>			
Originations of finance receivables held for investment	-	-	(859,069)
Payments received on finance receivables held for investment	481,289	605,353	647,619
Purchases of finance receivables measured at fair value	(1,004,194)	(914,949)	-
Payments on receivables portfolio at fair value	292,948	67,721	4
Change in repossessions held in inventory	1,354	757	1,490
Purchase of furniture and equipment	(751)	(1,077)	(669)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(229,354)</u>	<u>(242,195)</u>	<u>(210,625)</u>
<i>Cash flows from financing activities:</i>			
Proceeds from issuance of securitization trust debt	1,000,501	855,828	852,615
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated renewable notes	5,764	3,175	4,083
Payments on subordinated renewable notes	(5,520)	(2,451)	(2,466)
Net proceeds from (repayments of) warehouse lines of credit	(1,300)	23,809	9,309
Net advances of residual interest financing debt	-	40,000	-
Repayment of securitization trust debt	(966,144)	(876,094)	(851,193)
Payment of financing costs	(8,921)	(8,039)	(8,104)
Repurchase of common stock	(1,440)	(5,307)	(12,346)
Exercise of options and warrants	352	483	1,085
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>23,292</u>	<u>31,404</u>	<u>(7,017)</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	<u>10,722</u>	<u>5,414</u>	<u>(1,994)</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	130,110	124,696	126,690
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 140,832</u>	<u>\$ 130,110</u>	<u>\$ 124,696</u>
<i>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</i>			
Cash paid (received) during the period for:			
Interest	\$ 101,812	\$ 92,405	\$ 83,110
Income taxes	(5,156)	417	9,319
Non-cash financing activities:			
Right-of-use asset, net	(21,869)	-	-
Lease liability	23,327	-	-
Deferred office rent	(1,458)	-	-

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. ("CPS") was incorporated in California on March 8, 1991. CPS and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") specialize in purchasing and servicing retail automobile installment sale contracts ("Contracts") originated by licensed motor vehicle dealers ("Dealers") located throughout the United States. Dealers located in California, Ohio, Indiana, North Carolina, Florida and represented 12.6%, 10.8%, 6.3%, 5.4% and 4.9%, respectively, of contracts purchased during 2019 compared with 8.7%, 8.8%, 5.2%, 6.2% and 6.0% respectively in 2018. No other state had a concentration in excess of 4.9% in 2019. We specialize in contracts with vehicle purchasers who generally would not be expected to qualify for traditional financing provided by commercial banks or automobile manufacturers' captive finance companies.

We are subject to various regulations and laws as they relate to the extension of credit in consumer credit transactions. Failure to comply with such laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Principles of Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, certain of which are special purpose subsidiaries ("SPS"), formed to accommodate the structures under which we purchase and securitize our contracts. The Consolidated Financial Statements also include the accounts of CPS Leasing, Inc., an 80% owned subsidiary. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, we consider all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist of cash on hand and due from banks and money market accounts. Substantially all of our cash is deposited at three financial institutions. We maintain cash due from banks in excess of the banks' insured deposit limits. We do not believe we are exposed to any significant credit risk on these deposits. As part of certain financial covenants related to debt facilities, we are required to maintain a minimum unrestricted cash balance. As of December 31, 2019, our unrestricted cash balance was \$5.3 million, which exceeded the minimum amounts required by our financial covenants.

Finance Receivables

Finance receivables, which we have the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff, are presented at cost. All finance receivable contracts are held for investment. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized in interest income using the interest method without anticipating prepayments. Generally, payments received on finance receivables are restricted to certain securitized pools, and the related contracts cannot be resold. Finance receivables are charged off pursuant to the controlling documents of certain securitized pools, generally as described below under Charge Off Policy. Management may authorize an extension of payment terms if collection appears likely during the next calendar month.

Our portfolio of finance receivables consists of small-balance homogeneous contracts that are collectively evaluated for impairment on a portfolio basis. We report delinquency on a contractual basis. Once a Contract becomes greater than 90 days delinquent, we do not recognize additional interest income until the obligor under the Contract makes sufficient payments to be less than 90 days delinquent. Any payments received on a Contract that is greater than 90 days delinquent are first applied to accrued interest and then to principal reduction.

Finance Receivables Measured at Fair Value

Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted the fair value method of accounting for finance receivables acquired on or after that date. For each finance receivable acquired after 2017, we consider the price paid on the purchase date as the fair value for such receivable. We estimate the cash to be received in the future with respect to such receivables, based on our experience with similar receivables acquired in the past. We then compute the internal rate of return that results in the present value of those estimated cash receipts being equal to the purchase date fair value. Thereafter, we recognize interest income on such receivables on a level yield basis using that internal rate of return as the applicable interest rate. Cash received with respect to such receivables is applied first against such interest income, and then to reduce the carrying value of the receivables.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We re-evaluate the fair value of such receivables at the close of each measurement period. If the reevaluation were to yield a value materially different from the carrying value, an adjustment would be required. In the three-month period ended December 31, 2019, the net present value of the forecasted cash flows for the receivables acquired in the first and second quarter of 2018 exceeded the carrying value of that pool by \$2.1 million, which we have recorded as a mark to market value of that pool of receivables.

Anticipated credit losses are included in our estimation of cash to be received with respect to receivables. Because such credit losses are included in our computation of the appropriate level yield, we do not thereafter make periodic provision for credit losses, as our best estimate of the lifetime aggregate of credit losses is included in that initial computation. Also because we include anticipated credit losses in our computation of the level yield, the computed level yield is materially lower than the average contractual rate applicable to the receivables. Because our initial carrying value is fixed as the price we pay for the receivable, rather than as the contractual principal balance, we do not record acquisition fees as an amortizing asset related to the receivables, nor do we capitalize costs of acquiring the receivables. Rather we recognize the costs of acquisition as expenses in the period incurred.

Allowance for Finance Credit Losses

In order to estimate an appropriate allowance for losses likely incurred on finance receivables, we use a loss allowance methodology commonly referred to as "static pooling," which stratifies the finance receivable portfolio into separately identified pools based on their period of origination, then uses historical performance of seasoned pools to estimate future losses on current pools. Historical loss experience is adjusted as necessary for current economic conditions. We consider our portfolio of finance receivables to be relatively homogenous and consequently we analyze credit performance primarily in the aggregate rather than stratification by any particular credit quality indicator. Using analytical and formula driven techniques, we estimate an allowance for finance credit losses, which we believe is adequate for probable incurred credit losses that can be reasonably estimated in our portfolio of finance receivable contracts. For each monthly pool of contracts that we purchase, we begin establishing the allowance in the month of acquisition and increase it over the subsequent 11 months, through a provision for credit losses charged to our Consolidated Statement of Income. Net losses incurred on finance receivables are charged to the allowance. We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance by examining current delinquencies, the characteristics of the portfolio, the value of the underlying collateral and historical loss trends. As conditions change, our level of provisioning and/or allowance may change.

Charge Off Policy

Delinquent contracts for which the related financed vehicle has been repossessed are generally charged off at the earliest of (1) the month in which the proceeds from the sale of the financed vehicle are received, (2) the month in which 90 days have passed from the date of repossession or (3) the month in which the Contract becomes seven scheduled payments past due (see Repossessed and Other Assets below). The amount charged off is the remaining principal balance of the Contract, after the application of the net proceeds from the liquidation of the financed vehicle. With respect to delinquent contracts for which the related financed vehicle has not been repossessed, the remaining principal balance is generally charged off no later than the end of the month that the Contract becomes five scheduled payments past due.

Contract Acquisition Fees and Origination Costs

Upon purchase of a Contract from a Dealer, we generally either charge or advance the Dealer an acquisition fee. Dealer acquisition fees and deferred origination costs are applied to the carrying value of finance receivables and are accreted into earnings as an adjustment to the yield over the estimated life of the Contract using the interest method. However, for receivables measured at fair value, we do not record acquisition fees as an amortizing asset related to the receivables, nor do we capitalize costs of acquiring the receivables. Rather we recognize the costs of acquisition as expenses in the period incurred.

Repossessed and Other Assets

If a Contract obligor fails to make or keep promises for payments, or if the obligor is uncooperative or attempts to evade contact or hide the vehicle, a supervisor will review the collection activity relating to the account to determine if repossession of the vehicle is warranted. Generally, such a decision is made between the 60th and 90th day past the obligor's payment due date, but could occur sooner or later, depending on the specific circumstances. At the time the vehicle is repossessed we stop accruing interest on the Contract, and reclassify the remaining Contract balance to the line item "Other Assets" on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at its estimated fair value less costs to sell. Included in

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

other assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets are repossessed vehicles pending sale of \$7.5 million and \$8.9 million at December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

Treatment of Securitizations

Our term securitization structure has generally been as follows:

We sell contracts we acquire to a wholly-owned SPS, which has been established for the limited purpose of buying and reselling our contracts. The SPS then transfers the same contracts to another entity, typically a statutory trust ("Trust"). The Trust issues interest-bearing asset-backed securities ("Notes"), in a principal amount equal to or less than the aggregate principal balance of the contracts. We typically sell these contracts to the Trust at face value and without recourse, except representations and warranties that we make to the Trust that are similar to those provided to us by the Dealer. One or more investors (the "Noteholders") purchase the Notes issued by the Trust; the proceeds from the sale of the Notes are then used to purchase the contracts from us. We may retain or sell subordinated Notes issued by the Trust. In addition, we have provided "Credit Enhancement" for the benefit of the Noteholders in three forms: (1) an initial cash deposit to a bank account (a "Spread Account") held by the Trust, (2) overcollateralization of the Notes, where the principal balance of the Notes issued is less than the principal balance of the contracts, and (3) in the form of subordinated Notes. The agreements governing the securitization transactions (collectively referred to as the "Securitization Agreements") require that the initial level of Credit Enhancement be supplemented by a portion of collections from the contracts until the level of Credit Enhancement reaches specified levels, which are then maintained. The specified levels are generally computed as a percentage of the principal amount remaining unpaid under the related contracts. The specified levels at which the Credit Enhancement is to be maintained will vary depending on the performance of the portfolios of contracts held by the Trusts and on other conditions. Such levels have increased and decreased from time to time based on performance of the various portfolios, and have also varied from one Trust to another.

Our warehouse securitization structures are similar to the above, except that (i) the SPS that purchases the contracts pledges the contracts to secure promissory notes or loans that it issues, and (ii) no increase in the required amount of Credit Enhancement is contemplated. Upon each sale of contracts in a securitization structured as a secured financing, we retain as assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet the securitized contracts and record as indebtedness the Notes issued in the transaction.

We have the power to direct the most significant activities of the SPS. In addition, we have the obligation to absorb losses and the rights to receive benefits from the SPS, both of which could be potentially significant to the SPS. These types of securitization structures are treated as secured financings, in which the receivables remain on our Consolidated Balance Sheet, and the debt issued by the SPS is shown as a securitization trust debt on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

We receive periodic base servicing fees for the servicing and collection of the contracts. In addition, we are entitled to the cash flows from the Trusts that represent collections on the contracts in excess of the amounts required to pay principal and interest on the Notes, the base servicing fees, and certain other fees (such as trustee and custodial fees). Required principal payments on the Notes are generally defined as the payments sufficient to keep the principal balance of the Notes equal to the aggregate principal balance of the related contracts (excluding those contracts that have been charged off), or a pre-determined percentage of such balance. Where that percentage is less than 100%, the related Securitization Agreements require accelerated payment of principal until the principal balance of the Notes is reduced to the specified percentage. Such accelerated principal payment is said to create "overcollateralization" of the Notes.

If the amount of cash required for payment of fees, interest and principal on the senior Notes exceeds the amount collected during the collection period, the shortfall is generally withdrawn from the Spread Account, if any. If the cash collected during the period exceeds the amount necessary for the above allocations plus required principal payments on the subordinated Notes, if any, and there is no shortfall in the related Spread Account or other form of Credit Enhancement, the excess is released to us. If the total Credit Enhancement amount is not at the required level, then the excess cash collected is retained in the Trust until the specified level is achieved. Cash in the Spread Accounts is restricted from our use. Cash held in the various Spread Accounts is invested in high quality, liquid investment securities, as specified in the Securitization Agreements. In all of our term securitizations we have transferred the receivables (through a subsidiary) to the securitization Trust. We report the assets and liabilities of the securitization Trust on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Noteholders' and the related securitization Trusts' recourse against us

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

for failure of the contract obligors to make payments on a timely basis is limited, in general, to our Finance Receivables, and Spread Accounts.

Servicing

We consider the contractual servicing fee received on our managed portfolio held by non-consolidated subsidiaries to be equal to adequate compensation. Additionally, we consider that these fees would fairly compensate a substitute servicer, should one be required. As a result, no servicing asset or liability has been recognized. Servicing fees received on the managed portfolio held by non-consolidated subsidiaries are reported as income when earned. Servicing fees received on the managed portfolio held by consolidated subsidiaries are included in interest income when earned. Servicing costs are charged to expense as incurred. Servicing fees receivable, which are included in Other Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, represent fees earned but not yet remitted to us by the trustee.

Furniture and Equipment

Furniture and equipment are stated at cost net of accumulated depreciation. We calculate depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from three to five years. Assets held under capital leases and leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the estimated useful lives of the assets or the related lease terms. Amortization expense on assets acquired under capital lease is included with depreciation expense on owned assets.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

Other Income

The following table presents the primary components of Other Income:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Direct mail revenues.....	\$ 4,659	\$ 5,829	\$ 6,432
Convenience fee revenue.....	2,440	1,700	1,900
Recoveries on previously charged-off contracts.....	158	248	563
Sales tax refunds.....	1,239	887	866
Other.....	208	814	448
Other income for the period	<u>\$ 8,704</u>	<u>\$ 9,478</u>	<u>\$ 10,209</u>

On January 1, 2018, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 606, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers”. The majority of the Company’s revenues come from interest income which is outside the scope of ASC 606. The Company’s services that fall within the scope of ASC 606 are presented within Other Income and are recognized as revenue as the Company satisfies its obligation to the customer. Services within the scope of ASC 606 include revenue associated with direct mail and other related products and services that we offer to our dealers.

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share were calculated using the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the related period. The following table illustrates the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Numerator:			
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share.....	\$ 5,406	\$ 14,862	\$ 3,765
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic earnings per share			
- weighted average number of common shares			
outstanding during the year.....	22,416	21,989	22,687
Incremental common shares attributable to exercise			
of outstanding options and warrants.....	1,648	2,999	4,527
Denominator for diluted earnings per share.....	24,064	24,988	27,214
Basic earnings per share.....	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.17
Diluted earnings per share.....	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.14

Incremental shares of 11.3 million, 10.3 million and 7.5 million related to stock options and warrants have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively, because the effect is anti-dilutive.

Deferral and Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs

Costs related to the issuance of debt are deferred and amortized using the interest method over the contractual or expected term of the related debt. Unamortized debt issuance costs are presented as a direct deduction to the carrying amount of the related debt on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return and combined or stand-alone state franchise tax returns for certain states. We utilize the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes, under which deferred income taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to the differences between the financial statement values of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. We estimate a valuation allowance against that portion of the deferred tax asset whose utilization in future periods is not more than likely.

Purchases of Company Stock

We record purchases of our own common stock at cost and treat the shares as retired.

Stock Option Plan

We recognize compensation costs in the financial statements based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718 "Stock Compensation". Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reported periods. These material estimates that could be susceptible to changes in the near term and, accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassification

Certain amounts for the prior year have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation with no effect on previously reported earnings or shareholders' equity.

Financial Covenants

Certain of our securitization transactions, our residual interest financing and our warehouse credit facilities contain various financial covenants requiring certain minimum financial ratios and results. Such covenants include

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

maintaining minimum levels of liquidity and net worth and not exceeding maximum leverage levels. In addition, certain securitization and non-securitization related debt contain cross-default provisions that would allow certain creditors to declare a default if a default occurred under a different facility. As of December 31, 2019 we were in compliance with all such financial covenants.

Provision for Contingent Liabilities

We are routinely involved in various legal proceedings resulting from our consumer finance activities and practices, both continuing and discontinued. Our legal counsel has advised us on such matters where, based on information available at the time of this report, there is an indication that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably determined.

We have recorded a liability as of December 31, 2019, which represents our best estimate of the immaterial aggregate probable incurred losses for legal contingencies. The amount of losses that may ultimately be incurred, over and above such losses as are probable, cannot be estimated with certainty.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2016-13 - Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. The revised accounting guidance changes the criteria under which credit losses are measured. The amendment introduces a new credit reserving model known as the Current Expected Credit Loss (CECL) model, which replaces the incurred loss impairment methodology in current U.S. GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to establish credit loss estimates. ASU 2016-13 was initially scheduled to become effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, however on October 16, 2019, the FASB changed the effective date for smaller reporting companies to interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2022. Early adoption would still be permitted for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. The Company is currently evaluating whether to early adopt the provisions of ASU 2016-13, however, it is expected that the new CECL model will alter the assumptions used in calculating the Company's credit losses for receivables acquired prior to 2018, given the change to estimated losses for the estimated life of the financial asset, and will likely result in a material effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU 2016-02"), which modifies lease accounting for lessees to increase transparency and comparability by recording lease assets and liabilities for operating leases and disclosing key information about leasing arrangements. Effective January 1, 2019, the Company adopted guidance Accounting Standards Update ("ASU 2016-02") Topic 842, "Leases" using the modified retrospective transition method. Prior comparable periods are presented accordance with previous guidance under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 840, "Leases." The Company also elected the package of practical expedients, ASU 2018-11. This election allowed the Company to not reassess if expired or existing contracts contain leases, to not reassess lease classifications for any expired or existing leases and to not reassess existing leases initial direct costs.

(2) Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists of cash and cash equivalent accounts relating to our outstanding securitization trusts and credit facilities. The amount of restricted cash on our Consolidated Balance Sheets was \$135.5 million and \$117.3 million as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

Our securitization transactions and one of our warehouse credit facilities require that we establish cash reserves, or spread accounts, as additional credit enhancement. These cash reserves, which are included in restricted cash, were \$54.8 million and \$48.5 million as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

(3) Finance Receivables

Our portfolio of finance receivables consists of small-balance homogeneous contracts comprising a single segment and class that is collectively evaluated for impairment on a portfolio basis according to delinquency status. Our contract purchase guidelines are designed to produce a homogenous portfolio. We report delinquency on a contractual basis. Once a contract becomes greater than 90 days delinquent, we do not recognize additional interest income until the obligor under the contract makes sufficient payments to be less than 90 days delinquent. Any payments received on a contract that is greater than 90 days delinquent are first applied to accrued interest and then to principal reduction.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In January 2018 the Company adopted the fair value method of accounting for finance receivables acquired after 2017. Finance receivables measured at fair value are recorded separately on the Company's Balance Sheet and are excluded from all tables in this footnote.

The following table presents the components of finance receivables, net of unearned interest:

	December 31,	
	2019	2018
Finance receivables	(In thousands)	
Automobile finance receivables, net of unearned interest.....	\$ 895,566	\$ 1,518,395
Unearned acquisition fees, discounts and deferred origination costs, net.....	1,964	3,690
Finance receivables.....	<u>\$ 897,530</u>	<u>\$ 1,522,085</u>

We consider an automobile contract delinquent when an obligor fails to make at least 90% of a contractually due payment by the following due date, which date may have been extended within limits specified in the servicing agreements. The period of delinquency is based on the number of days payments are contractually past due, as extended where applicable. Automobile contracts less than 31 days delinquent are not reported as delinquent. In certain circumstances we will grant obligors one-month payment extensions. The only modification of terms is to advance the obligor's next due date by one month and extend the maturity date of the receivable by one month. In certain limited cases, a two-month extension may be granted. There are no other concessions, such as a reduction in interest rate, forgiveness of principal or of accrued interest. Accordingly, we consider such extensions to be insignificant delays in payments rather than troubled debt restructurings. The following table summarizes the delinquency status of finance receivables as of December 31, 2019 and 2018:

	December 31,	
	2019	2018
Delinquency Status	(In thousands)	
Current	\$ 698,870	\$ 1,262,730
31 - 60 days.....	107,951	157,688
61 - 90 days.....	57,395	66,134
91 + days.....	31,350	31,843
	<u>\$ 895,566</u>	<u>\$ 1,518,395</u>

Finance receivables totaling \$31.4 million and \$31.8 million at December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively, have been placed on non-accrual status as a result of their delinquency status.

We use a loss allowance methodology commonly referred to as "static pooling," which stratifies our finance receivable portfolio into separately identified pools based on the period of origination. Using analytical and formula driven techniques, we estimate an allowance for finance credit losses, which we believe is adequate for probable incurred credit losses that can be reasonably estimated in our portfolio of automobile contracts. The estimate for probable incurred credit losses is reduced by our estimate for future recoveries on previously incurred losses. Provision for credit losses is charged to our consolidated statement of income. Net losses incurred on finance receivables are charged to the allowance.

The following table presents a summary of the activity for the allowance for finance credit losses, for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017:

	December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Balance at beginning of year.....	\$ 67,376	\$ 109,187	\$ 95,578
Provision for credit losses.....	85,773	133,080	186,713
Charge-offs.....	(184,449)	(220,523)	(211,948)
Recoveries.....	42,940	45,632	38,844
Balance at end of year.....	<u>\$ 11,640</u>	<u>\$ 67,376</u>	<u>\$ 109,187</u>

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Excluded from finance receivables are contracts that were previously classified as finance receivables but were reclassified as other assets because we have repossessed the vehicle securing the Contract. The following table presents a summary of such repossessed inventory together with the allowance for losses on repossessed inventory:

	December 31,	
	2019	2018
	(In thousands)	
Gross balance of repossessions in inventory.....	\$ 28,933	\$ 33,462
Allowance for losses on repossessed inventory.....	(21,389)	(24,564)
Net repossessed inventory included in other assets.....	\$ 7,544	\$ 8,898

(4) Furniture and Equipment

The following table presents the components of furniture and equipment:

	December 31,	
	2019	2018
	(In thousands)	
Furniture and fixtures.....	\$ 1,648	\$ 1,647
Computer and telephone equipment.....	6,803	6,203
Leasehold improvements.....	1,507	1,507
	9,958	9,357
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization.....	(8,446)	(7,520)
	\$ 1,512	\$ 1,837

Depreciation expense totaled \$1,076,000, \$992,000 and \$934,000 for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

(5) Securitization Trust Debt

We have completed numerous term securitization transactions that are structured as secured borrowings for financial accounting purposes. The debt issued in these transactions is shown on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as "Securitization trust debt," and the components of such debt are summarized in the following table:

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Series	Final Scheduled Payment Date (1)	Receivables Pledged at December 31, 2019 (2)	Initial Principal	Outstanding Principal at December 31, 2019	Outstanding Principal at December 31, 2018	Weighted Average Contractual Interest Rate at December 31, 2019
(Dollars in thousands)						
CPS 2014-A	June 2021	-	180,000	-	15,328	-
CPS 2014-B	September 2021	-	202,500	-	24,051	-
CPS 2014-C	December 2021	-	273,000	19,758	40,896	5.24%
CPS 2014-D	March 2022	24,090	267,500	23,755	46,489	5.53%
CPS 2015-A	June 2022	28,533	245,000	26,713	52,448	5.31%
CPS 2015-B	September 2022	35,509	250,000	36,338	64,591	5.10%
CPS 2015-C	December 2022	52,624	300,000	53,579	90,639	5.77%
CPS 2016-A	March 2023	69,830	329,460	71,599	119,444	6.10%
CPS 2016-B	June 2023	84,403	332,690	82,667	135,688	6.40%
CPS 2016-C	September 2023	85,473	318,500	83,696	136,114	6.42%
CPS 2016-D	April 2024	66,807	206,325	65,021	104,645	4.74%
CPS 2017-A	April 2024	73,549	206,320	71,450	113,527	4.81%
CPS 2017-B	December 2023	89,706	225,170	76,201	127,726	4.14%
CPS 2017-C	September 2024	91,672	224,825	80,315	131,845	4.08%
CPS 2017-D	June 2024	93,992	196,300	83,801	132,919	3.70%
CPS 2018-A	March 2025	100,639	190,000	91,258	142,643	3.61%
CPS 2018-B	December 2024	118,234	201,823	111,188	167,809	4.01%
CPS 2018-C	September 2025	140,405	230,275	130,064	204,418	4.09%
CPS 2018-D	June 2025	164,200	233,730	149,470	224,189	4.08%
CPS 2019-A	March 2026	202,830	254,400	186,900	-	3.95%
CPS 2019-B	June 2026	193,284	228,275	184,308	-	3.58%
CPS 2019-C	December 2026	223,764	243,513	216,650	-	3.02%
CPS 2019-D	March 2027	267,750	274,313	265,035	-	2.60%
		<u>\$ 2,207,294</u>	<u>\$ 5,613,919</u>	<u>\$ 2,109,766</u>	<u>\$ 2,075,409</u>	

(1) The Final Scheduled Payment Date represents final legal maturity of the securitization trust debt. Securitization trust debt is expected to become due and to be paid prior to those dates, based on amortization of the finance receivables pledged to the Trusts. Expected payments, which will depend on the performance of such receivables, as to which there can be no assurance, are \$806.7 million in 2020, \$583.8 million in 2021, \$358.1 million in 2022, \$261.8 million in 2023, \$57.5 million in 2024, and \$29.9 million in 2025.

(2) Includes repossessed assets that are included in Other Assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Debt issuance costs of \$12.0 million and \$11.8 million as of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018, respectively, have been excluded from the table above. These debt issuance costs are presented as a direct deduction to the carrying amount of the Securitization trust debt on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

All of the securitization trust debt was issued in private placement transactions to qualified institutional investors. The debt was issued by our wholly-owned, bankruptcy remote subsidiaries and is secured by the assets of such subsidiaries, but not by any of our other assets.

The terms of the various securitization agreements related to the issuance of the securitization trust debt require that certain delinquency and credit loss criteria be met with respect to the collateral pool, and require that we maintain minimum levels of liquidity and net worth and not exceed maximum leverage levels. We were in compliance with all such covenants as of December 31, 2019.

We are responsible for the administration and collection of the contracts. The securitization agreements also require certain funds be held in restricted cash accounts to provide additional credit enhancement for the Notes or to be applied to make payments on the securitization trust debt. As of December 31, 2019, restricted cash under the various

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

agreements totaled approximately \$135.5 million. Interest expense on the securitization trust debt is composed of the stated rate of interest plus amortization of additional costs of borrowing. Additional costs of borrowing include facility fees, insurance premiums, amortization of deferred financing costs, and amortization of discounts required on the notes at the time of issuance. Deferred financing costs related to the securitization trust debt are amortized using the interest method. Accordingly, the effective cost of borrowing of the securitization trust debt is greater than the stated rate of interest.

Our wholly-owned, bankruptcy remote subsidiaries were formed to facilitate the above asset-backed financing transactions. Similar bankruptcy remote subsidiaries issue the debt outstanding under our warehouse line of credit. Bankruptcy remote refers to a legal structure in which it is expected that the applicable entity would not be included in any bankruptcy filing by its parent or affiliates. All of the assets of these subsidiaries have been pledged as collateral for the related debt. All such transactions, treated as secured financings for accounting and tax purposes, are treated as sales for all other purposes, including legal and bankruptcy purposes. None of the assets of these subsidiaries are available to pay any of our other creditors.

(6) Debt

The terms of our debt outstanding at December 31, 2019 and 2018 are summarized below:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Maturity</u>	<u>December 31,</u>	
			<u>2019</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2018</u>
<u>(In thousands)</u>				
Warehouse lines of credit	5.50% over one month Libor (Minimum 6.50%)	February 2021	\$ 40,558	\$ 38,198
	3.00% over one month Libor (Minimum 3.75%)	September 2020	96,225	99,885
	4.00% over a commercial paper rate (Minimum 5.00%)	December 2021	-	-
Residual interest financing	8.60%	January 2026	40,000	40,000
Subordinated renewable notes	Weighted average rate of 9.75% and 8.53% at December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018, respectively	Weighted average maturity of April 2022 and January 2021 at December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018, respectively	17,534	17,290
			<u>\$ 194,317</u>	<u>\$ 195,373</u>

Debt issuance costs of \$2.0 million and \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018, respectively, have been excluded from the table above. These debt issuance costs are presented as a direct deduction to the carrying amount of the Warehouse lines of credit and residual interest financing on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On May 11, 2012, we entered into a \$100 million one-year warehouse credit line with Citibank, N.A. The facility is structured to allow us to fund a portion of the purchase price of automobile contracts by borrowing from a credit facility to our consolidated subsidiary Page Eight Funding, LLC. The facility provides for effective advances up to 87.0% of eligible finance receivables. The loans under the facility accrue interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.00% per annum, with a minimum rate of 3.75% per annum. In September 2018, this facility was amended to extend the revolving period to September 2020 and to include an amortization period through September 2021 for any receivables pledged to the facility at the end of the revolving period. At December 31, 2019 there was \$96.2 million outstanding under this facility.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On April 17, 2015, we entered into an additional \$100 million one-year warehouse credit line with Fortress Investment Group. The facility is structured to allow us to fund a portion of the purchase price of automobile contracts by borrowing from a credit facility to our consolidated subsidiary Page Six Funding, LLC. The facility provides for effective advances up to 88.0% of eligible finance receivables. The loans under the facility accrue interest at one-month LIBOR plus 5.50% per annum, with a minimum rate of 6.50% per annum. In February 2019, this facility was amended to extend the revolving period to February 2021 followed by an amortization period through February 2023 for any receivables pledged to the facility at the end of the revolving period. At December 31, 2019 there was \$40.6 million outstanding under this facility.

On November 24, 2015, we entered into an additional \$100 million one-year warehouse credit line with affiliates of Credit Suisse Group and Ares Management LP. The facility is structured to allow us to fund a portion of the purchase price of automobile contracts by borrowing from a credit facility to our consolidated subsidiary Page Nine Funding, LLC. The facility provides for effective advances up to 88.0% of eligible finance receivables. The loans under the facility accrue interest at a commercial paper rate plus 4.00% per annum, with a minimum rate of 5.00% per annum. In December 2019, this facility was amended to extend the revolving period to December 2021 followed by an amortization period through December 2023 for any receivables pledged to the facility at the end of the revolving period. At December 31, 2019 there was no amount outstanding under this facility.

The total outstanding debt on our three warehouse lines of credit was \$136.8 million as of December 31, 2019, compared to \$138.1 million outstanding as of December 31, 2018.

The costs incurred in conjunction with the above debt are recorded as deferred financing costs on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and are more fully described in Note 1.

On May 16, 2018, we completed a \$40.0 million securitization of residual interests from previously issued securitizations. In this residual interest financing transaction, qualified institutional buyers purchased \$40.0 million of asset-backed notes secured by residual interests in thirteen CPS securitizations consecutively conducted from September 2013 through December 2016, and an 80% interest in a CPS affiliate that owns the residual interests in the four CPS securitizations conducted in 2017. The sold notes ("2018-1 Notes"), issued by CPS Auto Securitization Trust 2018-1, consist of a single class with a coupon of 8.595%.

The agreed valuation of the collateral for the 2018-1 Notes is the sum of the amounts on deposit in the underlying spread accounts for each related securitization and the over-collateralization of each related securitization, which is the difference between the outstanding principal balances of the related receivables less the principal balance of the outstanding notes issued in the related securitization. With respect to the securitizations conducted by CPS in 2017, only 80% of such amounts are included in the collateral. On each monthly payment date, the 2018-1 Notes are entitled to interest at the coupon rate and, if necessary, a principal payment necessary to maintain a specified minimum collateral ratio.

Unamortized debt issuance costs of \$522,000 have been excluded from the amount reported above for residual interest financing. These debt issuance costs are presented as a direct deduction to the carrying amount of the debt on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We must comply with certain affirmative and negative covenants related to debt facilities, which require, among other things, that we maintain certain financial ratios related to liquidity, net worth and capitalization. Further covenants include matters relating to investments, acquisitions, restricted payments and certain dividend restrictions. See the discussion of financial covenants in Note 1.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes the contractual and expected maturity amounts of long term debt as of December 31, 2019:

Contractual maturity date	Subordinated renewable notes (In thousands)
2020.....	\$ 6,006
2021.....	4,316
2022.....	2,591
2023.....	2,121
2024.....	825
Thereafter.....	1,675
Total.....	<u>\$ 17,534</u>

(7) Shareholders' Equity

Common Stock

Holders of common stock are entitled to such dividends as our board of directors, in its discretion, may declare out of funds available, subject to the terms of any outstanding shares of preferred stock and other restrictions. In the event of liquidation of the Company, holders of common stock are entitled to receive, *pro rata*, all of the assets of the Company available for distribution, after payment of any liquidation preference to the holders of outstanding shares of preferred stock. Holders of the shares of common stock have no conversion or preemptive or other subscription rights and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock.

Stock Purchases

For the year ending December 31, 2019, we purchased 378,470 shares of our common stock at an average price of \$3.97. In October 2017 our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$10 million of our common stock. There is approximately \$6.1 million of board authorization remaining under such plans, which have no expiration date. The table below describes the purchase of our common stock for the twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2019 and 2018:

	Twelve Months Ended			
	December 31, 2019		December 31, 2018	
	Shares	Avg. Price	Shares	Avg. Price
Open market purchases.....	335,546	\$ 3.95	1,258,797	\$ 3.77
Shares redeemed upon net exercise of stock options...	18,424	3.76	33,604	4.37
Other.....	24,500	4.20	90,000	4.13
Total stock purchases.....	<u>378,470</u>	<u>\$ 3.97</u>	<u>1,382,401</u>	<u>\$ 3.81</u>

Options and Warrants

In 2006, the Company adopted and its shareholders approved the CPS 2006 Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan") pursuant to which our Board of Directors, or a duly-authorized committee thereof, may grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights to our employees or employees of our subsidiaries, to directors of the Company, and to individuals acting as consultants to the Company or its subsidiaries. In June 2008, May 2012, April 2013, May 2015 and again in July 2018, the shareholders of the Company approved an amendment to the 2006 Plan to increase the maximum number of shares that may be subject to awards under the 2006 Plan to 5,000,000, 7,200,000, 12,200,000, 17,200,000 and 19,200,000, respectively, in each case plus shares authorized under prior plans and not issued. Options that have been granted under the 2006 Plan and a previous plan approved in 1997 have been granted at an exercise price equal to (or greater than) the stock's fair value at the date of the grant, with terms generally of 7-10 years and vesting generally over 4-5 years.

The per share weighted-average fair value of stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 was \$1.11, \$1.06 and \$1.32, respectively. That fair value was estimated using a binomial option pricing model using the weighted average assumptions noted in the following table. We use historical data to estimate the expected term of each option. The volatility estimate is based on the historical and implied volatility of our stock over the period that equals the expected life of the option. Volatility assumptions ranged from 37% to 39% for 2019, 31%

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

to 34% for 2018, and 35% to 37% for 2017. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield on a U.S. Treasury bond with a maturity comparable to the expected life of the option. The dividend yield is estimated to be zero based on our intention not to issue dividends for the foreseeable future.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Expected life (years).....	4.02	3.99	4.02
Risk-free interest rate.....	1.53 %	2.74 %	1.59 %
Volatility.....	37 %	34 %	36 %
Expected dividend yield.....	-	-	-

For the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, we recorded stock-based compensation costs in the amount of \$2.1 million, \$3.5 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2019, the unrecognized stock-based compensation costs to be recognized over future periods was equal to \$3.1 million. This amount will be recognized as expense over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

At December 31, 2019 and 2018, options outstanding had intrinsic values of \$4.8 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. At December 31, 2019 and 2018, options exercisable had intrinsic values of \$4.8 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$1.4 million and \$869,000 for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively. New shares were issued for all options exercised during the year ended December 2019 and cash of \$422,000 was received. At December 31, 2019, there were a total of 1,458,000 additional shares available for grant under the 2006 Plan.

Stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2019 for stock options under the 2006 and 1997 plans is as follows:

	Number of Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Options outstanding at the beginning of period.....	14,421	\$ 4.57	N/A
Granted.....	1,490	3.53	N/A
Exercised.....	(488)	0.86	N/A
Forfeited/Expired.....	(75)	4.00	N/A
Options outstanding at the end of period.....	15,348	\$ 4.59	3.34 years
Options exercisable at the end of period.....	11,717	\$ 4.87	2.69 years

We did not issue any stock options with an exercise price above or below the market price of the stock on the grant date for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.

In connection with the amendment to and partial repayment of our residual interest financing in July 2008, we issued warrants exercisable for 2,500,000 common shares, and allocated \$4,071,429 of the aggregate consideration received in that transaction to the issuance of the warrants. The warrants represented the right to purchase CPS common shares at a nominal exercise price. In March 2010 we repurchased the warrants for 500,000 of these shares for \$1.0 million. Warrants to purchase 2,000,000 shares were exercised on July 10, 2018 and 1,999,995 net shares were issued to the holder, following surrender of five shares in payment of the exercise price.

(8) Interest Income and Interest Expense

The following table presents the components of interest income:

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Interest on finance receivables.....	\$ 211,138	\$ 334,634	\$ 423,567
Interest on finance receivables at fair value.....	123,059	43,863	
Other interest income.....	2,899	1,800	607
Interest income.....	\$ 337,096	\$ 380,297	\$ 424,174

The following table presents the components of interest expense:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Securitization trust debt.....	\$ 96,870	\$ 89,926	\$ 83,084
Warehouse lines of credit.....	8,402	7,752	7,933
Residual interest financing	3,822	2,343	-
Subordinated renewable notes.....	1,434	1,445	1,328
Interest expense.....	\$ 110,528	\$ 101,466	\$ 92,345

(9) Income Taxes

Income taxes consist of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Current federal tax expense	\$ (574)	\$ (7,526)	\$ 14,369
Current state tax expense	105	(2,064)	3,305
Deferred federal tax expense	2,759	9,074	10,131
Deferred state tax expense.....	1,466	4,357	501
Income tax expense.....	\$ 3,756	\$ 3,841	\$ 28,306

Income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 differs from the amount determined by applying the statutory federal rate to income before income taxes as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Expense at federal tax rate.....	\$ 1,924	\$ 3,928	\$ 11,225
State taxes, net of federal income tax effect.....	1,027	1,718	1,831
Stock-based compensation.....	169	238	682
Non-deductible expenses.....	856	824	171
Effect of change in tax rate.....	-	-	15,117
Accounting method change	-	(2,100)	-
Other.....	(220)	(767)	(720)
	\$ 3,756	\$ 3,841	\$ 28,306

For the year ended December 31, 2018, we recorded income tax expense of \$3.8 million which include a \$2.1 million net tax benefit related to certain tax planning strategies and other adjustments. Without the benefit, income tax expense for 2018 would have been \$5.9 million.

The tax effected cumulative temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2019 and 2018 are as follows:

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	December 31,	
	2019	2018
	(In thousands)	
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Finance receivables.....	\$ -	\$ 1,867
Accrued liabilities.....	307	256
NOL carryforwards.....	17,240	7,736
Built in losses.....	4,008	4,651
Pension accrual.....	1,927	1,552
Stock compensation.....	4,385	4,161
Lease liability.....	5,232	-
Other.....	164	356
Total deferred tax assets.....	33,263	20,579
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		
Finance receivables.....	\$ (12,180)	\$ -
Deferred loan costs.....	(542)	(1,137)
Lease right-of-use assets.....	(4,855)	-
Furniture and equipment.....	(206)	(254)
Total deferred tax liabilities.....	(17,783)	(1,391)
Net deferred tax asset.....	\$ 15,480	\$ 19,188

We acquired certain net operating losses and built-in loss assets as part of our acquisitions of MFN Financial Corp. (“MFN”) in 2002 and TFC Enterprises, Inc. (“TFC”) in 2003. Moreover, both MFN and TFC have undergone an ownership change for purposes of Internal Revenue Code (“IRC”) Section 382. In general, IRC Section 382 imposes an annual limitation on the ability of a loss corporation (that is, a corporation with a net operating loss (“NOL”) carryforward, credit carryforward, or certain built-in losses (“BILs”)) to utilize its pre-change NOL carryforwards or BILs to offset taxable income arising after an ownership change.

In determining the possible future realization of deferred tax assets, we have considered future taxable income from the following sources: (a) reversal of taxable temporary differences; and (b) tax planning strategies that, if necessary, would be implemented to accelerate taxable income into years in which net operating losses might otherwise expire.

Deferred tax assets are recognized subject to management’s judgment that realization is more likely than not. A valuation allowance is recognized for a deferred tax asset if, based on the weight of the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. In making such judgements, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. Although realization is not assured, we believe that the realization of the recognized net deferred tax asset of \$15.5 million as of December 31, 2019 is more likely than not based on forecasted future net earnings. Our net deferred tax asset of \$15.5 million consists of approximately \$11.5 million of net U.S. federal deferred tax assets and \$4.0 million of net state deferred tax assets. The major components of the deferred tax asset are \$21.2 million in net operating loss carryforwards and built in losses.

As of December 31, 2019, we had net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax purposes of \$70.5 million. These state net operating losses begin to expire in 2024.

We recognize a tax position as a benefit only if it is “more likely than not” that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the “more likely than not” test, no tax benefit is recorded. We recognize potential interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. At December 31, 2019, we had no unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions.

We are subject to taxation in the US and various state jurisdictions. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state, or local examinations by tax authorities for years before 2016.

(10) Related Party Transactions

In December 2007, one of our directors purchased a \$4.0 million subordinated renewable note pursuant to our ongoing program of issuing such notes to the public. The note was purchased through the registered agent and under

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

the same terms and conditions, including the interest rate, that were offered to other purchasers at the time the note was issued. The note was redeemed at par plus accrued interest in February 2019.

(11) Commitments and Contingencies

Leases

The Company has operating leases for corporate offices, equipment, software and hardware. The Company has entered into operating leases for the majority of its real estate locations, primarily office space. These leases are generally for periods of three to seven years with various renewal options. The depreciable life of leased assets is limited by the expected lease term. Leases with an initial term of 12 months or less are not recorded on the balance sheet and the related lease expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

We determine if a contract contains a lease at contract inception. Right-of-use assets and liabilities are recognized based on the present value of lease payments over the lease term. In determining the present value of lease payments, we use the Company's incremental borrowing rate. Right-of-use assets are included in other assets and lease liabilities are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2019.

The following table presents the supplemental balance sheet information related to leases:

	Year Ended, December 31, 2019 (In thousands)	
Operating Leases		
Operating lease right-of-use assets.....	\$	23,735
Less: Accumulated amortization right-of-use assets.....		<u>(6,600)</u>
Operating lease right-of-use assets, net.....	\$	<u>17,135</u>
Operating lease liabilities		(18,527)
Finance Leases		
Property and equipment, at cost.....	\$	876
Less: Accumulated depreciation		<u>(150)</u>
Property and equipment, net.....	\$	<u>726</u>
Finance lease liabilities	\$	(718)
 Weighted Average Discount Rate		
Operating lease		5.0%
Finance lease		6.4%

Maturities of lease liabilities were as follows:

(In thousands) Year Ending December 31,	Operating Lease	Finance Lease
2020.....	\$ 7,757	\$ 309
2021.....	7,449	305
2022.....	6,058	127
2023.....	1,389	27
2024.....	411	13
Thereafter.....	<u>278</u>	<u>-</u>
Total undiscounted lease payments.....	23,342	781
Less amounts representing interest.....	<u>(4,815)</u>	<u>(63)</u>
Lease Liability	<u>\$ 18,527</u>	<u>\$ 718</u>

The following table presents the leases expense included in Occupancy, General and administrative on our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations:

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Operating lease cost.....	\$ 7,521	\$ 7,124	\$ 6,266
Finance lease cost.....	160	-	-
Total lease cost.....	\$ 7,681	\$ 7,124	\$ 6,266

The following table presents the supplemental cash flow information related to leases:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Cash paid for amounts included in the measurement of lease liabilities:			
Operating cash flows from operating leases.....	\$ 7,584	\$ 6,809	\$ 6,053
Operating cash flows from finance leases.....	133	37	73
Financing cash flows from finance leases.....	27	9	17

Legal Proceedings

Consumer Litigation. We are routinely involved in various legal proceedings resulting from our consumer finance activities and practices, both continuing and discontinued. Consumers can and do initiate lawsuits against us alleging violations of law applicable to collection of receivables, and such lawsuits sometimes allege that resolution as a class action is appropriate.

For the most part, we have legal and factual defenses to consumer claims, which we routinely contest or settle (for immaterial amounts) depending on the particular circumstances of each case.

Wage and Hour Claim. On September 24, 2018, a former employee filed a lawsuit against us in the Superior Court of Orange County, California, alleging that we incorrectly classified our sales representatives as outside salespersons exempt from overtime wages, mandatory break periods and certain other employee protective provisions of California and federal law. The complaint seeks injunctive relief, an award of unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and attorney fees and interest. The plaintiff purports to act on behalf of a class of similarly situated employees and ex-employees. As of the date of this report, no motion for class certification has been filed or granted.

We believe that our compensation practices with respect to our sales representatives are compliant with applicable law. Accordingly, we have defended and intend to continue to defend this lawsuit. We have not recorded a liability with respect to this claim on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

In General. There can be no assurance as to the outcomes of the matters described or referenced above. We record at each measurement date, most recently as of December 31, 2019, our best estimate of probable incurred losses for legal contingencies, including each of the matters described or referenced above. The amount of losses that may ultimately be incurred cannot be estimated with certainty. However, based on such information as is available to us, we believe that the total of probable incurred losses for legal contingencies as of December 31, 2019 is immaterial, and that the range of reasonably possible losses for the legal proceedings and contingencies we face, including those described or referenced above, as of December 31, 2019 does not exceed \$3 million.

Accordingly, we believe that the ultimate resolution of such legal proceedings and contingencies should not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition. We note, however, that in light of the uncertainties inherent in contested proceedings there can be no assurance that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not be material to our operating results for a particular period, depending on, among other factors, the size of the loss or liability imposed and the level of our income for that period.

(12) Employee Benefits

We sponsor a pretax savings and profit sharing plan (the “401(k) Plan”) qualified under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Under the 401(k) Plan, eligible employees are able to contribute up to the maximum allowed under the law. We may, at our discretion, match 100% of employees’ contributions up to \$2,000 per employee per calendar year. Our matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan were \$1.6 million, \$1.5 million, and \$1.2 million respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We also sponsor a defined benefit plan, the MFN Financial Corporation Pension Plan (the “Plan”). The Plan benefits were frozen on June 30, 2001.

The following tables represents a reconciliation of the change in the plan’s benefit obligations, fair value of plan assets, and funded status at December 31, 2019 and 2018:

	December 31,	
	2019	2018
	(In thousands)	
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation		
Projected benefit obligation, beginning of year.....	\$ 20,085	\$ 22,562
Service cost.....	-	-
Interest cost.....	808	775
Assumption changes.....	3,047	(1,867)
Actuarial (gain) loss.....	141	(361)
Settlements.....	-	-
Benefits paid.....	(1,084)	(1,024)
Projected benefit obligation, end of year.....	\$ 22,997	\$ 20,085
Change in Plan Assets		
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year.....	\$ 14,368	\$ 16,446
Return on assets.....	3,017	(1,806)
Employer contribution.....	-	1,000
Expenses.....	(391)	(248)
Settlements.....	-	-
Benefits paid.....	(1,084)	(1,024)
Fair value of plan assets, end of year.....	\$ 15,910	\$ 14,368
Funded Status at end of year.....	\$ (7,087)	\$ (5,717)

Additional Information

Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations and cost at December 31, 2019 and 2018 were as follows:

	December, 31	
	2019	2018
Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations		
Discount rate.....	3.07%	4.11%
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost		
Discount rate.....	4.11%	3.50%
Expected return on plan assets.....	7.25%	7.25%

Our overall expected long-term rate of return on assets is 7.25% per annum as of December 31, 2019. The expected long-term rate of return is based on the weighted average of historical returns on individual asset categories, which are described in more detail below.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

		December 31,	
	2019	2018	2017
	(In thousands)		
Amounts recognized on Consolidated Balance Sheet			
Other assets.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other liabilities.....	(7,087)	(5,717)	(6,116)
Net amount recognized.....	\$ (7,087)	\$ (5,717)	\$ (6,116)
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of:			
Net loss.....	\$ 13,092	\$ 11,896	\$ 11,350
Unrecognized transition asset.....	-	-	-
Net amount recognized.....	\$ 13,092	\$ 11,896	\$ 11,350
Components of net periodic benefit cost			
Interest cost.....	\$ 808	\$ 775	\$ 855
Expected return on assets.....	(1,012)	(1,163)	(1,149)
Amortization of transition asset.....	-	-	-
Amortization of net loss.....	376	443	405
Net periodic benefit cost.....	172	55	111
Settlement (gain)/loss.....	-	-	-
Total.....	\$ 172	\$ 55	\$ 111
Benefit Obligation Recognized in Other Comprehensive Loss (Income)			
Net loss (gain).....	\$ 1,197	\$ 545	\$ 732
Prior service cost (credit).....	-	-	-
Amortization of prior service cost.....	-	-	-
Net amount recognized in other comprehensive loss (income).....	\$ 1,197	\$ 545	\$ 732

The estimated net loss that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost in 2020 is \$382,000.

The weighted average asset allocation of our pension benefits at December 31, 2019 and 2018 were as follows:

	December 31,	
Weighted Average Asset Allocation at Year-End	2019	2018
Asset Category		
Equity securities.....	82%	78%
Debt securities.....	18%	22%
Cash and cash equivalents.....	0%	0%
Total.....	100%	100%

Our investment policies and strategies for the pension benefits plan utilize a target allocation of 75% equity securities and 25% fixed income securities (excluding Company stock). Our investment goals are to maximize returns subject to specific risk management policies. We address risk management and diversification by the use of a professional investment advisor and several sub-advisors which invest in domestic and international equity securities and domestic fixed income securities. Each sub-advisor focuses its investments within a specific sector of the equity or fixed income market. For the sub-advisors focused on the equity markets, the sectors are differentiated by the market capitalization, the relative valuation and the location of the underlying issuer. For the sub-advisors focused on the fixed income markets, the sectors are differentiated by the credit quality and the maturity of the underlying fixed income investment. The investments made by the sub-advisors are readily marketable and can be sold to fund benefit payment obligations as they become payable.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash Flows

Estimated Future Benefit Payments (In thousands)

2020.....	\$	870
2021.....		906
2022.....		943
2023.....		966
2024.....		997
Years 2025 - 2029.....		5,592
Anticipated Contributions in 2020.....	\$	1,052

The fair value of plan assets at December 31, 2019 and 2018, by asset category, is as follows:

Investment Name:	December 31, 2019			Total
	Level 1 (1)	Level 2 (2)	Level 3 (3)	
	(in thousands)			
Company Common Stock.....	\$ 2,950	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,950
Large Cap Value.....	-	2,370	-	2,370
Mid Cap Index.....	-	658	-	658
Small Cap Growth.....	-	655	-	655
Small Cap Value.....	-	674	-	674
Large Cap Blend.....	-	683	-	683
Growth.....	-	2,342	-	2,342
International Growth.....	-	2,667	-	2,667
Core Bond.....	-	1,909	-	1,909
High Yield.....	-	386	-	386
Inflation Protected Bond.....	-	509	-	509
Money Market.....	-	107	-	107
Total.....	<u>\$ 2,950</u>	<u>\$ 12,960</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 15,910</u>

Investment Name:	December 31, 2018			Total
	Level 1 (1)	Level 2 (2)	Level 3 (3)	
	(in thousands)			
Company Common Stock.....	\$ 2,635	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,635
Large Cap Value.....	-	1,983	-	1,983
Mid Cap Index.....	-	563	-	563
Small Cap Growth.....	-	559	-	559
Small Cap Value.....	-	558	-	558
Large Cap Blend.....	-	587	-	587
Growth.....	-	2,031	-	2,031
International Growth.....	-	2,301	-	2,301
Core Bond.....	-	1,921	-	1,921
High Yield.....	-	364	-	364
Inflation Protected Bond.....	-	510	-	510
Money Market.....	-	356	-	356
Total.....	<u>\$ 2,635</u>	<u>\$ 11,733</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 14,368</u>

- (1) Company common stock is classified as level 1 and valued using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.
- (2) All other plan assets in stock, bond and money market funds are classified as level 2 and valued using significant observable inputs.
- (3) There are no plan assets classified as level 3 in the fair value hierarchy as a result of having significant unobservable inputs.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(13) Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements" clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. Under the standard, fair value measurements are separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy.

ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurement and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The three levels are defined as follows: level 1 - inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets; level 2 – inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument; and level 3 – inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

Effective January 2018 we have elected to use the fair value method to value our portfolio of finance receivables acquired in January 2018 and thereafter.

Our valuation policies and procedures have been developed by our Accounting department in conjunction with our Risk department and with consultation with outside valuation experts. Our policies and procedures have been approved by our Chief Executive and our Board of Directors and include methodologies for valuation, internal reporting, calibration and back testing. Our periodic review of valuations includes an analysis of changes in fair value measurements and documentation of the reasons for such changes. There is little available third-party information such as broker quotes or pricing services available to assist us in our valuation process.

Our level 3, unobservable inputs reflect our own assumptions about the factors that market participants use in pricing similar receivables and are based on the best information available in the circumstances. They include such inputs as estimates for the magnitude and timing of net charge-offs and the rate of amortization of the portfolio of finance receivable. Significant changes in any of those inputs in isolation would have a significant impact on our fair value measurement.

The table below presents a reconciliation of the finance receivables measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs:

	Twelve Months Ended	
	December 31,	
	2019	2018
	(In thousands)	
Balance at beginning of period.....	\$ 821,066	\$ -
Finance receivables at fair value acquired during period.....	1,004,194	914,949
Payments received on finance receivables at fair value.....	(292,948)	(67,720)
Net interest income accretion on fair value receivables.....	(90,383)	(26,163)
Mark to fair value.....	2,109	-
Balance at end of period.....	<u>\$ 1,444,038</u>	<u>\$ 821,066</u>

The table below compares the fair values of these finance receivables to their contractual balances for the periods shown:

	December 31, 2019		December 31, 2018	
	Contractual	Fair	Contractual	Fair
	Balance	Value	Balance	Value
	(In thousands)			
Finance receivables measured at fair value.....	\$ 1,492,803	\$ 1,444,038	\$ 829,039	\$ 821,066

The following table provides certain qualitative information about our level 3 fair value measurements:

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<u>Financial Instrument</u>	<u>Fair Values as of</u> December 31,		<u>Unobservable Inputs</u>	<u>Inputs as of</u> December 31,	
	<u>2019</u> (In thousands)	<u>2018</u> (In thousands)		<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>
Assets:					
Finance receivables measured at fair value.....	\$ 1,444,038	\$ 821,066	Discount rate Cumulative net losses	8.9% - 11.1% 15.0% - 16.1%	8.9% - 9.9% 15% - 16%

The following table summarizes the delinquency status using the contractual balance of these finance receivables measured at fair value as of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018:

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2019</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2018</u>
	(In thousands)	
Delinquency Status		
Current	\$ 1,344,883	\$ 787,707
31 - 60 days.....	81,262	26,285
61 - 90 days.....	34,280	8,350
91 + days.....	15,167	3,677
Repo.....	17,211	3,020
	<u>\$ 1,492,803</u>	<u>\$ 829,039</u>

Repossessed vehicle inventory, which is included in Other assets on our consolidated balance sheet, is measured at fair value using level 2 assumptions based on our actual loss experience on sale of repossessed vehicles. At December 31, 2019, the finance receivables related to the repossessed vehicles in inventory totaled \$28.9 million. We have applied a valuation adjustment, or loss allowance, of \$21.4 million, which is based on a recovery rate of approximately 26%, resulting in an estimated fair value and carrying amount of \$7.5 million. The fair value and carrying amount of the repossessed inventory at December 31, 2018 was \$8.9 million after applying a valuation adjustment of \$24.6 million.

There were no transfers in or out of level 1 or level 2 assets and liabilities for 2019 and 2018. We have no level 3 assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The estimated fair values of financial assets and liabilities at December 31, 2019 and 2018, were as follows:

<u>Financial Instrument</u>	<u>As of December 31, 2019</u>				
	(In thousands)				
	<u>Carrying Value</u>	<u>Fair Value Measurements Using:</u>			<u>Total</u>
	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>		
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 5,295	\$ 5,295	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,295
Restricted cash and equivalents.....	135,537	135,537	-	-	135,537
Finance receivables, net.....	885,890	-	-	841,160	841,160
Accrued interest receivable.....	11,645	-	-	11,645	11,645
Liabilities:					
Warehouse lines of credit.....	\$ 134,791	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 134,791	\$ 134,791
Accrued interest payable.....	5,254	-	-	5,254	5,254
Securitization trust debt.....	2,097,728	-	-	2,116,520	2,116,520
Subordinated renewable notes.....	17,534	-	-	17,534	17,534

<u>Financial Instrument</u>	<u>As of December 31, 2018</u>				
	(In thousands)				
	<u>Carrying Value</u>	<u>Fair Value Measurements Using:</u>			<u>Total</u>
	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>		
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 12,787	\$ 12,787	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,787
Restricted cash and equivalents.....	117,323	117,323	-	-	117,323
Finance receivables, net.....	1,454,709	-	-	1,434,631	1,434,631
Accrued interest receivable.....	31,969	-	-	31,969	31,969
Liabilities:					
Warehouse lines of credit.....	\$ 136,847	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 136,847	\$ 136,847
Accrued interest payable.....	4,819	-	-	4,819	4,819
Securitization trust debt.....	2,063,627	-	-	2,051,920	2,051,920
Subordinated renewable notes.....	17,290	-	-	17,290	17,290

(14) Subsequent Events

On January 15, 2020 we executed our first securitization of 2020. In the transaction, qualified institutional buyers purchased \$260.0 million of asset-backed notes secured by \$260.0 million in automobile receivables originated by CPS. The sold notes, issued by CPS Auto Receivables Trust 2020-A, consist of six classes. Ratings of the notes were provided by Moody's and DBRS, and were based on the structure of the transaction, the historical performance of similar receivables and CPS's experience as a servicer. The weighted average yield on the notes is approximately 3.08%.

The 2020-A transaction has initial credit enhancement consisting of a cash deposit equal to 1.00% of the original receivable pool balance. The transaction agreements require accelerated payment of principal on the notes to reach overcollateralization of the lesser of 4.00% of the original receivable pool balance, or 11.00% of the then outstanding pool balance. The transaction utilized a pre-funding structure, in which CPS sold approximately \$170.9 million of receivables at inception and approximately \$89.1 million of additional receivables in February 2020. The transaction was a private offering of securities, not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, or any state securities law.